

On the classification of nonnegative solutions to nonlinear equations in half-spaces

Alberto FARINA

Université de Picardie J. Verne
LAMFA, CNRS UMR 7352
Amiens, France

Workshop in Nonlinear PDEs
Brussels, September 7-11, 2015

Aims and motivations

- To study and to classify solutions of semilinear equations on the Euclidean *half-space* :

$$\mathbb{R}_+^N := \{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > 0\}, \text{ with } N \geq 2.$$

Aims and motivations

- To study and to classify solutions of semilinear equations on the Euclidean *half-space* :

$$\mathbb{R}_+^N := \{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > 0\}, \text{ with } N \geq 2.$$

- *A priori estimates and regularity results for solutions of nonlinear second order PDE's on smooth bounded domains.*

Aims and motivations

- To study and to classify solutions of semilinear equations on the Euclidean *half-space* :

$$\mathbb{R}_+^N := \{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > 0\}, \text{ with } N \geq 2.$$

- *A priori estimates and regularity results for solutions of nonlinear second order PDE's on smooth bounded domains.*
- *Semilinear problems with small diffusion on smooth bounded domains.*

The model problem

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $N \geq 2$ and

$f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, f is *locally Lipschitz continuous*.

Monotonicity

$f \in C^1$, with $f(0) > 0$ or both $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) \geq 0$, then every bounded solution u is monotone, i.e. it satisfies $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^N .

(Dancer, 1992)

Monotonicity

$f \in C^1$, with $f(0) > 0$ or both $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) \geq 0$, then every bounded solution u is monotone, i.e. it satisfies $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^N .

(Dancer, 1992)

f globally Lipschitz continuous and, either $N = 2$ or $N \geq 3$ and $f(0) \geq 0$, then every positive solution u (not necessarily bounded) is monotone.

(Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg, 1996-1997)

One-dimensional symmetry

f globally Lipschitz continuous and let u be a bounded solution of (1).

i) If $N = 2$, u is one-dimensional, i.e., $u = u(x_N)$.

ii) If $N = 3$ the same conclusion holds, if one assumes in addition that $f(0) \geq 0$ and $f \in C^1$.

(Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg, 1997)

One-dimensional symmetry

f globally Lipschitz continuous and let u be a bounded solution of (1).

i) If $N = 2$, u is one-dimensional, i.e., $u = u(x_N)$.

ii) If $N = 3$ the same conclusion holds, if one assumes in addition that $f(0) \geq 0$ and $f \in C^1$.

(Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg, 1997)

- In the previous results, it is always assumed the *boundedness* of u and/or the *global Lipschitz character* of f .

One-dimensional symmetry

f globally Lipschitz continuous and let u be a bounded solution of (1).

i) If $N = 2$, u is one-dimensional, i.e., $u = u(x_N)$.

ii) If $N = 3$ the same conclusion holds, if one assumes in addition that $f(0) \geq 0$ and $f \in C^1$.

(Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg, 1997)

- In the previous results, it is always assumed the *boundedness* of u and/or the *global Lipschitz character* of f .
- Both the assumptions are crucial for the methods used.

Open problem

Open problem (Berestycki, Caffarelli, Nirenberg, 1996)

We have always assumed that f is globally Lipschitz. Does the conclusion of the above theorems still hold for unbounded solutions of (1) in the case where f is merely locally Lipschitz ?

Question

In 1997, *Berestycki, Caffarelli, Nirenberg*, wrote :

It is not known whether the restriction on the dimension or the assumption $f(0) \geq 0$ can be lifted (in the above results)

Question

In 1997, *Berestycki, Caffarelli, Nirenberg*, wrote :

It is not known whether the restriction on the dimension or the assumption $f(0) \geq 0$ can be lifted (in the above results)

This clearly suggests to study the general case $N \geq 3$, even under the (more restrictive) assumptions : *f globally Lipschitz and u bounded.*

Conjecture

Conjecture (Berestycki, Caffarelli, Nirenberg, 1997)

The problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u - 1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

has no bounded solutions.

Conjecture

Conjecture (Berestycki, Caffarelli, Nirenberg, 1997)

The problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u - 1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

has no bounded solutions.

Berestycki, Caffarelli, Nirenberg (1997) proved the above conjecture for $N = 2$ and 3.

Main difficulties

- *u is unbounded and/or f is merely locally Lipschitz continuous.*

Main difficulties

- u is unbounded and/or f is merely locally Lipschitz continuous.

A priori bound on u and/or the global Lipschitz character of f ensure the possibility to use elliptic estimates to study the asymptotic behaviour u , by means of the translation invariance of the considered problem and/or to use some comparison principles on unbounded cylindrical domains having small cross section.

Main difficulties

- $f(0) < 0$.

Main difficulties

- $f(0) < 0$.

In this case we have the *absence* of both the *strong maximum principle* and the *Hopf's lemma*. Thus, nonnegative solutions are natural and must be taken into account. Indeed, in this case, nontrivial, nonnegative solutions (vanishing somewhere) can exist and sometimes, they are the only nonnegative solutions of the considered problem.

Main difficulties

- $f(0) < 0$.

In this case we have the *absence* of both the *strong maximum principle* and the *Hopf's lemma*. Thus, nonnegative solutions are natural and must be taken into account. Indeed, in this case, nontrivial, nonnegative solutions (vanishing somewhere) can exist and sometimes, they are the only nonnegative solutions of the considered problem.

- This is the case when $f(u) = u - 1$, for instance.

Main difficulties

- $f(0) < 0$.

In this case we have the *absence* of both the *strong maximum principle* and the *Hopf's lemma*. Thus, nonnegative solutions are natural and must be taken into account. Indeed, in this case, nontrivial, nonnegative solutions (vanishing somewhere) can exist and sometimes, they are the only nonnegative solutions of the considered problem.

- This is the case when $f(u) = u - 1$, for instance.

In this case f is smooth and globally Lipschitz, with $f(0) = -1 < 0$ and $u(x) = 1 - \cos(x_N)$ is a smooth, bounded and nonnegative solution.

The two-dimensional case

Theorem (F., Sciunzi, 2014)

Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^2})$ be a nonnegative solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

with f locally Lipschitz continuous on $[0, +\infty)$. Then

The two-dimensional case

Theorem (F., Sciunzi, 2014)

Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^2})$ be a nonnegative solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

with f locally Lipschitz continuous on $[0, +\infty)$. Then

- (i) if $f(0) < 0$, either u is positive on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^2 , or u is one-dimensional and periodic (and unique).

The two-dimensional case

Theorem (F., Sciunzi, 2014)

Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^2})$ be a nonnegative solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

with f locally Lipschitz continuous on $[0, +\infty)$. Then

- (i) if $f(0) < 0$, either u is positive on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^2 , or u is one-dimensional and periodic (and unique).
- (ii) if $f(0) \geq 0$, either u vanishes identically, or u is positive on \mathbb{R}_+^2 with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^2 .

The two-dimensional case

Theorem (F., Sciunzi, 2014)

Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^2})$ be a nonnegative solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

with f locally Lipschitz continuous on $[0, +\infty)$. Then

- (i) if $f(0) < 0$, either u is positive on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^2 , or u is one-dimensional and periodic (and unique).
- (ii) if $f(0) \geq 0$, either u vanishes identically, or u is positive on \mathbb{R}_+^2 with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^2 .

Method : Rotating plane/line method + unique continuation principle.

The two-dimensional case : symmetry

Theorem (F., Sciunzi, 2014)

Assume that f is locally Lipschitz continuous on $[0, +\infty)$. Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^2})$ be a nonnegative solution to (3) with $|\nabla u| \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Then u is one-dimensional, i.e.

$$u(x) = u_0(x_2) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^2.$$

for some smooth 1D-profile u_0 .

Remarks : improvements/sharpness

Remark

- u is only $u \geq 0$ and f is *merely* locally-lipschitz continuous,

Remarks : improvements/sharpness

Remark

- u is only $u \geq 0$ and f is *merely* locally-lipschitz continuous,
- we *only* suppose $\nabla u \in L^\infty$,

Remarks : improvements/sharpness

Remark

- u is only $u \geq 0$ and f is *merely* locally-lipschitz continuous,
- we *only* suppose $\nabla u \in L^\infty$,
since :
- elliptic estimates imply that any *bounded solution* of the considered equation has *bounded gradient*,

Remarks : improvements/sharpness

Remark

- u is only $u \geq 0$ and f is *merely* locally-lipschitz continuous,
- we *only* suppose $\nabla u \in L^\infty$,
since :
- elliptic estimates imply that any *bounded solution* of the considered equation has *bounded gradient*,
- the linear function $u(x) = x_2$ is an *unbounded, monotone, one-dimensional* harmonic function with *bounded gradient*.

Remarks : improvements/sharpness

Remark

- u is only $u \geq 0$ and f is *merely* locally-lipschitz continuous,
- we *only* suppose $\nabla u \in L^\infty$,
since :
- elliptic estimates imply that any *bounded solution* of the considered equation has *bounded gradient*,
- the linear function $u(x) = x_2$ is an *unbounded, monotone, one-dimensional* harmonic function with *bounded gradient*.
- (necessity) : $u(x_1, x_2) = x_2 e^{x_1}$ is a positive monotone solution of $-\Delta u = -u$, with $\nabla u \notin L^\infty$ and which is not 1D.

Sketch of proof

A geometric Poincaré-type formula in any dimension -

Sketch of proof

A geometric Poincaré-type formula in any dimension -

If $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^N , by the implicit function theorem, any connected component of each level set $\{u = \alpha\}$ is a $N - 1$ -dimensional smooth manifold, hence we can introduce the principal curvatures $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{N-1}$ at any point of such manifold.

Sketch of proof

A geometric Poincaré-type formula in any dimension -

If $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^N , by the implicit function theorem, any connected component of each level set $\{u = \alpha\}$ is a $N - 1$ -dimensional smooth manifold, hence we can introduce the principal curvatures $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{N-1}$ at any point of such manifold.

We set

$$\mathcal{K}^2 := \kappa_1^2 + \dots + \kappa_{N-1}^2$$

$\nabla_{\mathcal{T}}$ = tangential gradient along level sets

(the orthogonal projection of the gradient on the tangent space to level sets).

Sketch of proof : A geometric Poincaré-type formula in any dimension

Theorem (F., Valdinoci, 2010)

Assume $N \geq 2$ and suppose that $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \\ u = \text{const.} & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

with f locally Lipschitz continuous.

Sketch of proof : A geometric Poincaré-type formula in any dimension

Theorem (F., Valdinoci, 2010)

Assume $N \geq 2$ and suppose that $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \\ u = \text{const.} & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

with f locally Lipschitz continuous.

Then for any $\varphi \in C_c^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 \mathcal{K}^2 + |\nabla_T |\nabla u||^2 \right) \varphi^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla \varphi|^2 \quad (5)$$

Sketch of proof

- $\forall R > 1, \quad \varphi_R(x) := \chi_{B_{\sqrt{R}}}(x) + \frac{2 \ln(R/|x|)}{\ln R} \chi_{B_R \setminus B_{\sqrt{R}}}(x).$

Sketch of proof

- $\forall R > 1$, $\varphi_R(x) := \chi_{B_{\sqrt{R}}}(x) + \frac{2 \ln(R/|x|)}{\ln R} \chi_{B_R \setminus B_{\sqrt{R}}}(x)$.
- Plug φ_R inside (5) to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap B_{\sqrt{R}}} (|\nabla u|^2 \mathcal{K}^2 + |\nabla_T |\nabla u||^2) \leq \frac{C}{(\ln R)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap (B_R \setminus B_{\sqrt{R}})} \frac{1}{|x|^2} \leq \frac{C'}{\log R}$$

for appropriate $C, C' > 0$, since $|\nabla u|$ is bounded.

Sketch of proof

- $\forall R > 1, \quad \varphi_R(x) := \chi_{B_{\sqrt{R}}}(x) + \frac{2 \ln(R/|x|)}{\ln R} \chi_{B_R \setminus B_{\sqrt{R}}}(x).$
- Plug φ_R inside (5) to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap B_{\sqrt{R}}} \left(|\nabla u|^2 \mathcal{K}^2 + |\nabla_T |\nabla u||^2 \right) \leq \frac{C}{(\ln R)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap (B_R \setminus B_{\sqrt{R}})} \frac{1}{|x|^2} \leq \frac{C'}{\log R}$$

for appropriate $C, C' > 0$, since $|\nabla u|$ is bounded.

- $R \rightarrow +\infty \quad \implies \quad \mathcal{K} = |\nabla_T |\nabla u|| \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^2$
 \Downarrow

Sketch of proof

- $\forall R > 1$, $\varphi_R(x) := \chi_{B_{\sqrt{R}}}(x) + \frac{2 \ln(R/|x|)}{\ln R} \chi_{B_R \setminus B_{\sqrt{R}}}(x)$.
- Plug φ_R inside (5) to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap B_{\sqrt{R}}} (|\nabla u|^2 \mathcal{K}^2 + |\nabla_T |\nabla u||^2) \leq \frac{C}{(\ln R)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap (B_R \setminus B_{\sqrt{R}})} \frac{1}{|x|^2} \leq \frac{C'}{\log R}$$

for appropriate $C, C' > 0$, since $|\nabla u|$ is bounded.

- $R \rightarrow +\infty \implies \mathcal{K} = |\nabla_T |\nabla u|| \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^2$
 \Downarrow

u is 1D.

The two-dimensional case : the conjecture and the complete classification

Theorem (F., Sciunzi, 2014)

Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^2})$ be a nonnegative solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u - 1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Then

$$u(x) = 1 - \cos x_2 .$$

The 3-dimensional case : the conjecture

Theorem (F., Soave 2013)

Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^3})$ be a nonnegative solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u - 1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^3 \\ u \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^3 \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^3, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

with $|\nabla u| \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$.

Then

$$u(x) = 1 - \cos x_3.$$

Different method : Fourier series + Liouville theorems (in low dimension).

Sketch of proof

Consider the strip $\bar{\Sigma} = \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times [0, 2\pi]$, $N \geq 2$.

For every $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, we denote by $\tilde{u}(x', \cdot)$ the 2π -periodic extension of $x_N \mapsto u(x', x_N)$. In view of the smoothness of u , it follows that the Fourier expansion of $x_N \mapsto \tilde{u}(x', x_N)$, i.e.

$$\frac{a_0(x')}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} (a_m(x') \cos(mx_N) + b_m(x') \sin(mx_N)), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_m(x') &:= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x', x_N) \cos(mx_N) dx_N & \forall m \geq 0, \\ b_m(x') &:= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x', x_N) \sin(mx_N) dx_N & \forall m \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

is convergent.

Sketch of proof

Lemma

Let $N \geq 2$. For any $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\Delta' a_m(x') = (m^2 - 1)a_m(x') + \frac{1}{\pi} (u_N(x', 0) - u_N(x', 2\pi)) \quad (10)$$

$$\Delta' b_m(x') = (m^2 - 1)b_m(x') + \frac{m}{\pi} u(x', 2\pi). \quad (11)$$

Also,

$$\Delta' a_0(x') = 2 - a_0(x') + \frac{1}{\pi} (u_N(x', 0) - u_N(x', 2\pi)).$$

For any $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta' a_m(x') &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Delta' u(x', x_N) \cos(mx_N) dx_N \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - u(x', x_N) - u_{NN}(x', x_N)) \cos(mx_N) dx_N \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (u(x', x_N) + u_{NN}(x', x_N)) \cos(mx_N) dx_N.\end{aligned}$$

For any $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta' a_m(x') &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Delta' u(x', x_N) \cos(mx_N) dx_N \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - u(x', x_N) - u_{NN}(x', x_N)) \cos(mx_N) dx_N \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (u(x', x_N) + u_{NN}(x', x_N)) \cos(mx_N) dx_N. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts twice the last term we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta' a_m(x') &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (u(x', x_N) \cos(mx_N) + mu_N(x', x_N) \sin(mx_N)) dx_N \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} [u_N(x', x_N) \cos(mx_N)]_{x_N=0}^{2\pi} \\ &= \frac{m^2 - 1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x', x_N) \cos(mx_N) dx_N \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} (u_N(x', 2\pi) - u_N(x', 0)) \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof

Lemma

For $N \leq 3$. Both b_1 and a_1 are constant; moreover,

$$u(x', 2\pi) = 0, \quad u_N(x', 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_N(x', 2\pi) = 0 \quad \forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}.$$

Sketch of proof

Lemma

For $N \leq 3$. Both b_1 and a_1 are constant; moreover,

$$u(x', 2\pi) = 0, \quad u_N(x', 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_N(x', 2\pi) = 0 \quad \forall x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}.$$

An important consequence of the previous Lemma is that the equations for a_m and b_m simplify as

$$\Delta' a_m(x') = (m^2 - 1)a_m(x') \quad \forall m \geq 2 \quad (12)$$

$$\Delta' b_m(x') = (m^2 - 1)b_m(x') \quad \forall m \geq 2. \quad (13)$$

Conclusion of the proof

The Fourier coefficients a_m and b_m are identically 0 for any $m \geq 2$.

Conclusion of the proof

The Fourier coefficients a_m and b_m are identically 0 for any $m \geq 2$.
 Hence, the Fourier series reduces to

$$\frac{a_0(x')}{2} + a_1 \cos x_N + b_1 \sin x_N \quad (14)$$

and, for every $x \in \bar{\Sigma}$, it is equal to $u(x)$.

The initial condition $u(x', 0) = 0$ reads

$$\frac{a_0(x')}{2} + a_1 = 0 \Rightarrow a_0 \text{ is constant, equal to } -2a_1.$$

We also proved that $u_N(x', 0) = 0$, which implies $b_1 = 0$.

Plugging the expression of u inside the equation $-\Delta u = u - 1$ we obtain

$$-a_1 \cos x_N + \frac{a_0}{2} + a_1 \cos x_N - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow a_0 = 2,$$

and hence $a_1 = -1$.

We proved that $u(x', x_N) = 1 - \cos x_N$ in $\bar{\Sigma} = \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times [0, 2\pi]$.

Monotonicity

Theorem

Assume $N \geq 3$ and f locally Lipschitz continuous with $f(0) \geq 0$.
Assume that u is bounded on the strips $\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times [0, t]$, for every $t > 0$.
Then u is monotone.

The case $N \leq 5$

Theorem (F., Valdinoci, 2010)

For $N \leq 5$, every *bounded and positive* solution u in \mathbb{R}_+^N is *one-dimensional and monotone*,

The case $N \leq 5$

Theorem (F., Valdinoci, 2010)

For $N \leq 5$, every *bounded and positive* solution u in \mathbb{R}_+^N is *one-dimensional and monotone*,

if one of the two following conditions occurs :

- $f \in C^1$ and $f \geq 0$ on $[0, +\infty)$

or

- $f \in C^1$ and f changes sign "only once".

Sketch of proof

- u is monotone.

Sketch of proof

- u is monotone.
-

$$v(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) := \lim_{x_N \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) \quad (15)$$

Sketch of proof

- u is monotone.
-

$$v(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) := \lim_{x_N \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) \quad (15)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$ and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = f(v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, \\ v > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N-1}. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Sketch of proof

- v is a *stable* solution of

$$-\Delta v = f(v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$$

Sketch of proof

- v is a *stable* solution of

$$-\Delta v = f(v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$$

Theorem (F., Dupaigne, 2010)

Assume $f \in C^1$, $f \geq 0$, $M \leq 4$. Assume $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^M)$ is a bounded, stable solution of

$$-\Delta v = f(v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^M$$

Then v is constant.

Sketch of proof

- v is a *stable* solution of

$$-\Delta v = f(v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$$

Theorem (F., Dupaigne, 2010)

Assume $f \in C^1$, $f \geq 0$, $M \leq 4$. Assume $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^M)$ is a bounded, stable solution of

$$-\Delta v = f(v) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^M$$

Then v is constant.

- $\implies f(\sup u) = f(\sup v) = 0 \implies u$ is 1D.

Thank you for your attention!

The talk is based on

- *Flattening results for elliptic PDEs in unbounded domains with applications to overdetermined problems*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 195 (2010), 1025 - 1058. (with E. Valdinoci).
- *Symmetry and uniqueness of non-negative solutions of some problems in the half-space*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 403, (2013),1, 215-233 (with N. Soave).
- *Qualitative properties and classification of nonnegative solutions to $-\Delta u = f(u)$ in unbounded domains when $f(0) < 0$* , (2014) To appear in Revista Matemática Iberoamericana (with B. Sciunzi).
- *Splitting theorems, symmetry results and overdetermined problems for Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. in PDEs, Vol. 38, issue 10, 2013 (with L. Mari and E. Valdinoci).