

Qualitative properties of solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonlinear boundary conditions on the half-line

Türker Özşarı

Izmir Institute of Technology (IZTECH)

turkerozsari@iyte.edu.tr

September 7, 2015

Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonlinear boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u + k|u|^p u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+, t \in (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \\ \partial_x u(0, t) + \lambda|u(0, t)|^r u(0, t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

- $\lambda = 0$: Homogeneous Neumann b.c.
- $r = 0$: Homogeneous Robin b.c.
- $\lambda \neq 0, r \neq 0$: Nonlinear Robin b.c.

- $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{2}) - \{\frac{3}{2}\}$.
- Compatibility condition: $u_0'(0) = -\lambda|u_0(0)|^r u_0(0)$ for $s > \frac{3}{2}$.
- $X_T^s \equiv \{u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+^x; H^{\frac{2s+1}{4}}(0, T)) \text{ s.t. } \|u\|_{X_T^s} < \infty\}$
where

$$\|u\|_{X_T^s} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \|u(x, \cdot)\|_{H^{\frac{2s+1}{4}}(0, T)}.$$

What is known?

Ackleh-Deng (2004, Differential and Integral Equations) studied the following model

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \\ \partial_x u(0, t) + |u(0, t)|^r u(0, t) = 0. \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+, t \in (0, T), \quad (1)$$

Theorem (Ackleh-Deng, 2004)

If $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then there is $T_0 > 0$ such that (1) possesses a unique local solution $u \in C([0, T_0]; H^1(\mathbb{R}_+))$. Moreover, (large) solutions with negative initial energy blow-up in H^1 if $r \geq 2$ and are global otherwise.

Critical exponent: $r = 2$

Lasiecka-Triggiani (2006, J. Evolution Equations) studied

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = 0, & x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Gamma_0, t \in (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - i|u(x, t)|^r u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Gamma_1, t \in (0, T). \end{cases}$$

where Ω is an open, bounded domain whose (smooth) boundary is partitioned into Γ_0 and Γ_1 .

Theorem (Lasiecka-Triggiani, 2006)

- (Well-posedness): If $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, then there is a unique (mild) solution $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$.
- (Regularity): If $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$ satisfying the necessary compatibility conditions, then the solution u belongs to $C([0, T]; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega))$.

Step 1 Study the linear Schrödinger equation with inhomogeneous terms both in the main equation and on the boundary:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u + f(x, t) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+, t \in (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \\ \partial_x u(0, t) = h(t). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In Step 1 we obtain the optimal (sharp) regularity theory for (2) and nice space-time (Strichartz) estimates for the corresponding (boundary) evolution operator.

Step 2 Replace the non-homogeneous source term f with $k|u|^p u$ and the non-homogeneous boundary term $h(t)$ with $-\lambda|u(0, t)|^r u(0, t)$. Use a contraction argument on the space X_T^S .

Boundary evolution operator

- 1 First, we consider

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_+, t \in (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = 0, \partial_x u(0, t) = h(t). \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Lemma (Extension)

Let $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{2}) - \{\frac{3}{2}\}$, $h \in H^{\frac{2s-1}{4}}(0, T)$ with $h(0) = 0$ if $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Then, there exists $h_e \in H^{\frac{2s-1}{4}}$ with compact support in $[0, 2T + 1]$ which extends h .

2

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u_e + \partial_x^2 u_e = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_+, t > 0, \\ u_e(x, 0) = 0, \partial_x u_e(0, t) = h_e(t) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Boundary evolution operator

- Laplace (in time) - Fourier (in space) transform gives

$$u_e = \frac{1}{i\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{(-i\beta^2 t + i\beta x)} \tilde{h}_e(-i\beta^2) d\beta - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{(i\beta^2 t - \beta x)} \tilde{h}_e(i\beta^2) d\beta.$$

- We set $\phi_{h_e} = F^{-1}\{\nu_1\}$ and $\psi_{h_e} = F^{-1}\{\nu_2\}$ where $\nu_1(\beta) := \frac{1}{i\pi} \tilde{h}_e(-i\beta^2)$ for $\beta \geq 0$ and zero otherwise, and $\nu_2(\beta) := -\frac{1}{\pi} \tilde{h}_e(i\beta^2)$ for $\beta \geq 0$ and zero otherwise.
- Then,

$$u_e(x, t) = [W_b(t)h_e](x) := [W_{b,1}(t)h_e](x) + [W_{b,2}(t)h_e](x)$$

where

$$[W_{b,1}(t)h_e](x) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp(-i\beta^2 t + i\beta x) \hat{\phi}_{h_e}(\beta) d\beta$$

and

$$[W_{b,2}(t)h_e](x) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp(i\beta^2 t - \beta x) \hat{\psi}_{h_e}(\beta) d\beta.$$

- We can extend $W_{b,1}(t)h_e$ to \mathbb{R} without changing its definition.

Lemma

$u(x, t) = [W_{b,1}(t)h_e](x)$ solves the initial value problem

$$i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u = 0, u(x, 0) = \phi_{h_e}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

- Such an observation does not apply to $W_{b,2}$.

Lemma (Cazenave, 2003)

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$, $\phi \in H^s$, and $u := W_{\mathbb{R}}\phi$. Then, there exists $C = C(s)$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^s} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(x, \cdot)\|_{H^{\frac{2s+1}{4}}(0, T)} \leq C \|\phi\|_{H^s}. \quad (5)$$

Lemma (Cazenave, 2003)

Let $T > 0$, $f \in L^1(0, T; H^s)$, and $u := \int_0^t W_{\mathbb{R}}(t - \tau)f(\tau)d\tau$. Then, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C = C(s) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^s} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(x, \cdot)\|_{H^{\frac{2s+1}{4}}(0, T)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(0, T; H^s)}. \quad (6)$$

Lemma (Space Traces)

Let $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $T > 0$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ (independent of T) such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|W_{b,2}(\cdot)h_e\|_{H^s} \leq C(1 + T)\|h\|_{H^{\frac{2s-1}{4}}(0, T)} \quad (7)$$

for any $h \in H^{\frac{2s-1}{4}}(0, T)$ with $h(0) = 0$ if $s > \frac{3}{2}$.

Lemma (Time traces)

Let $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $T > 0$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ (independent of T) such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \|W_{b,2}h_e\|_{H^{\frac{2s+1}{4}}(0, T)} \leq C(1 + T)\|h\|_{H^{\frac{2s-1}{4}}(0, T)} \quad (8)$$

for any $h \in H^{\frac{2s-1}{4}}(0, T)$ with $h(0) = 0$ if $s > \frac{3}{2}$.

Representation formula

$$u_e(x, t) = W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)u_0^* - i \int_0^t W_{\mathbb{R}}(t - \tau)f^*(\tau)d\tau + W_b([h - g - p]_e(t))$$

with $g(t) = \partial_x W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)u_0^*|_{x=0}$ and $p(t) = -i\partial_x \int_0^t W_{\mathbb{R}}(t - \tau)f^*(\tau)d\tau|_{x=0}$, then $u = u_e|_{[0, T)}$ will solve

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \partial_x^2 u = f, t \in (0, T), x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0, \partial_x u(0, t) = h(t). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Theorem

Let $T > 0$, $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{2}) - \{3/2\}$, $h \in H^{\frac{2s-1}{4}}(0, T)$, $f \in L^1(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}_+))$, $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and if $s \in (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{2})$, $u'_0(0) = h(0)$. Then there exists $C > 0$ (independent of T) such that the solution u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(x, \cdot)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \|u(x, \cdot)\|_{H^{\frac{2s+1}{4}}(0, T)} \\ & \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)} + (1 + T) \|h\|_{H^{\frac{2s-1}{4}}(0, T)} + \|f\|_{L^1(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}_+))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - \partial_x^2 u + f(u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+, t \in (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0, \\ \partial_x u(0, t) = h(u(0, t)), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $f(u) = k|u|^p u$, $p > 0$.

- Closed-loop problem: $h(u(0, t)) = -\lambda|u(0, t)|^r u(0, t)$.

$$[\Psi(u)](t) := W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)u_0^* - i \int_0^t W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(u^*(\tau))d\tau \\ + W_b(t)([h(u(0, \cdot)) - g - \rho(u^*)]_e) \quad (11)$$

with

$$g(t) = \partial_x W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)u_0^*|_{x=0}$$

and

$$[\rho(u^*)](t) = -i\partial_x \int_0^t W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(u^*(\tau))d\tau|_{x=0}.$$

Goal: Show that Ψ maps an appropriately chosen closed ball $\bar{B}_R(0)$ of $X_{T_0}^s$ onto itself for sufficiently small T_0 .

Lemma (Nonlinearity)

Let $f(u) = |u|^p u$ and $s > \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, let (p, s) satisfy one of the following assumptions:

- (a1) If s is integer, then assume that $p \geq s$ if p is an odd integer and $[p] \geq s - 1$ if p is non-integer.
- (a2) If s is non-integer, then assume that $p > s$ if p is an odd integer and $[p] \geq [s]$ if p is non-integer.

If $u, v \in H^s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u)\|_{H^s} &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^s}^{p+1}, \\ \|f(u) - f(v)\|_{H^s} &\lesssim (\|u\|_{H^s}^p + \|v\|_{H^s}^p) \|u - v\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Let $h \in H^{\sigma+\epsilon}(0, T)$, $\sigma, \epsilon > 0$. Then $\|h\|_{H^\sigma(0, T)} \leq T^{\frac{\epsilon}{1+\sigma+\epsilon}} \|h\|_{H^{\sigma+\epsilon}(0, T)}$.

Theorem (Local well-posedness)

Let $T > 0$, $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{2}) - \{\frac{3}{2}\}$, $p, r > 0$, $k, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$ together with $u_0'(0) = -\lambda|u_0(0)|^r u_0(0)$ whenever $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Assume one of the following:

- (A1) If s is integer, then $p \geq s$ if p is an odd integer and $[p] \geq s - 1$ if p is non-integer.
- (A2) If s is non-integer, then $p > s$ if p is an odd integer and $[p] \geq [s]$ if p is non-integer.
- (A3) $r > \frac{2s-1}{4}$ if r is an odd integer and $[r] \geq [\frac{2s-1}{4}]$ if r is non-integer.

Then, the following hold true.

- (i) *Local Existence and Uniqueness:* There exists a unique local solution $u \in X_{T_0}^s$ for some $T_0 = T_0(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)}) \in (0, T]$.
- (ii) *Continuous Dependence:* If B is a bounded subset of $H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then there is $T_0 > 0$ (depends on the diameter of B) such that the flow $u_0 \rightarrow u$ is Lipschitz continuous from B into $X_{T_0}^s$.
- (iii) *Blow-up Alternative:* If S is the set of all $T_0 \in (0, T]$ such that there exists a unique local solution in $X_{T_0}^s$, then whenever $T_{\max} := \sup_{T_0 \in S} T_0 < T$, it must be true that $\lim_{t \uparrow T_{\max}} \|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \infty$.

Damped Equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - u_{xx} + k|u|^p u + iau = 0, & t > 0, x \in I = (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x > 0, \\ u_x(0, t) = -\lambda|u(0, t)|^r u(0, t), & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda, p, k, r > 0$ and $a \geq 0$.

Goal

To understand the competition between the bad term (nonlinear Robin boundary condition of focusing type) and the good terms (defocusing nonlinearity and damping).

Energy

$$E(t) \equiv \|u_x(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 - \frac{2\lambda}{r+2}|u(0, t)|^{r+2} + \frac{2k}{p+2}\|u(t)\|_{L^{p+2}(I)}^{p+2}$$

L^2 -rate

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2 = -a \|u(t)\|_{L^2(I)}^2$$

H^1 -rate

$$E'(t) = -2aE(t) - \frac{2akp}{p+2} \|u(t)\|_{L^{p+2}(I)}^{p+2} + \frac{2a\lambda r}{r+2} |u(0, t)|^{r+2}.$$

How to get blow-up solutions?

Theorem (H^1 -Blow-up)

Suppose $r > \max\{2, p - 2\}$, $E(0) \leq 0$, and

$$\frac{(a - b)}{2} \int_0^\infty x^2 |u_0(x)|^2 dx < \operatorname{Im} \int_0^\infty x u_0(x)' \bar{u}_0(x) dx$$

where $b = \frac{a(r+2)(4-M)}{4(r+2)-2M} \leq 0$, $M = \max\{8, 2p\}$. Then, there exists $T > 0$ such that the corresponding local solution u satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \|u_x(t)\|_{L^2(I)} = \infty.$$

How to stabilize solutions?

Proposition (Stabilization I)

Let $a > 0, r < 2$ and u be a local solution. Then u is global and decays to zero exponentially fast in the following sense:

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1(I)}^2 \leq Ce^{-(2a-\epsilon)t}, t \geq 0$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is fixed and can be chosen arbitrarily small.

Proposition (Stabilization II)

Let $a > 0, 2 \leq r < \frac{p}{2}$ and u be a local solution. Then u is global and decays to zero exponentially fast in the following sense:

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1(I)}^2 \leq Ce^{-(a\mu-\epsilon)t}, t \geq 0,$$

where $\mu = \frac{(p+2)(p-2r)}{p(p+2)-2r} > 0$, and $\epsilon > 0$ is fixed and can be chosen arbitrarily small.

Proposition (Stabilization III)

Let $a > 0$, $r = 2$, $p \leq 4$ and u be a local solution such that u_0 is sufficiently small in L^2 sense. Then u is global and moreover u decays to zero exponentially fast in the following sense:

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1(I)}^2 \leq Ce^{-2at}, t \geq 0.$$

Proposition (Stabilization IV)

Let $a > 0$, $r > 2$, $r \geq \frac{p}{2}$ and u be a local solution such that u_0 is sufficiently small in $H^1 \cap L^{p+2}$ sense. Then u is global and moreover u decays to zero exponentially fast in the following sense:

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1(I)}^2 \leq Ce^{-2at}, t \geq 0.$$

Nonlinear Powers	Blow-up ($a \geq 0$)	Local \Rightarrow Global ($a \geq 0$)	Exp. Stabilization ($a > 0$)
$r < 2$	NO	YES	YES Decay rate $\sim O(e^{-(2a-\epsilon)t})$
$2 \leq r < \frac{p}{2}$	NO	YES	YES Decay rate $\sim O(e^{-(a\mu-\epsilon)t})$
$r = 2, p \leq 4$	OPEN	Small Sol. Large Sol: OPEN	Small Sol. Decay rate $\sim O(e^{-2at})$ Large Sol: OPEN
$r > 2, p - 2 \geq r \geq \frac{p}{2}$	OPEN	Small Sol. Large Sol: OPEN	Small Sol. Decay rate $\sim O(e^{-2at})$ Large Sol: OPEN
$r > 2, r > p - 2$	YES	ONLY Small Sol.	ONLY Small Sol. Decay rate $\sim O(e^{-2at})$

Table: Blow-up, local/global solutions, stabilization

References



A.S. Ackleh, K. Deng, *On the critical exponent for the Schrödinger equation with a nonlinear boundary condition*, Differential and Integral Equations, Vol. 17, No.11–12, 1293–1307, 2004



A. Batal, T. Özşarı, Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half-line with nonlinear boundary condition, arXiv:1507.04666 [math.AP], 2015.



J.L. Bona, S.M. Sun, B.Y. Zhang, *Nonhomogeneous boundary-value problems for one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, arXiv:1503.00065.



T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 10. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.



J.E. Colliander, C.E. Kenig, *The generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation on the half-line*, Comm. Partial Diff. Equations 27 (2002) 2187–2266.



J. Holmer, *The initial-boundary value problem for the 1-d nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the half-line*, Differential Integral Equations 18 (2005), no. 6, 647–668.



E.I. Kaikina, *Inhomogeneous Neumann initial-boundary value problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, J. Differential Equations 255 (2013), no. 10, 3338–3356.



V.K. Kalantarov and T. Özşarı, Qualitative properties of solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonlinear boundary conditions on the half-line, arXiv:1508.01043 [math.AP], 2015.



I. Lasić, R. Triggiani, *Well-posedness and sharp uniform decay rates at the $L^2(\Omega)$ -level of the Schrödinger equation with nonlinear boundary dissipation*, J. Evol. Equ. 6 (2006), no. 3, 485–537.