



# Some recent results on pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations

Simone Secchi

Università di Milano-Bicocca

September 7, 2015

# Pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations

$$i\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left( \sqrt{-\epsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} - m \right) \psi + V\psi - \left( \frac{1}{|\cdot|} * |\psi|^2 \right) \psi \quad (1)$$

where

- $\psi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the wave field

# Pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations

$$i\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left( \sqrt{-\epsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} - m \right) \psi + V\psi - \left( \frac{1}{|\cdot|} * |\psi|^2 \right) \psi \quad (1)$$

where

- $\psi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the wave field
- $m > 0$  is a physical constant

# Pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations

$$i\varepsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left( \sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} - m \right) \psi + V\psi - \left( \frac{1}{|\cdot|} * |\psi|^2 \right) \psi \quad (1)$$

where

- $\psi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the wave field
- $m > 0$  is a physical constant
- $\varepsilon > 0$  is the semiclassical parameter (a scaled Planck constant)

# Pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations

$$i\varepsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left( \sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} - m \right) \psi + V\psi - \left( \frac{1}{|\cdot|} * |\psi|^2 \right) \psi \quad (1)$$

where

- $\psi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the wave field
- $m > 0$  is a physical constant
- $\varepsilon > 0$  is the semiclassical parameter (a scaled Planck constant)
- $V$  is a bounded external potential in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

# Physical motivation

- Equation (1) emerges as the correct evolution equation for the mean-field dynamics of many-body quantum systems modelling pseudo-relativistic boson stars in astrophysics (Elgart and Schlein, 2007).

# Physical motivation

- Equation (1) emerges as the correct evolution equation for the mean-field dynamics of many-body quantum systems modelling pseudo-relativistic boson stars in astrophysics (Elgart and Schlein, 2007).
- The external potential  $V$  accounts for gravitational fields from other stars.

# Physical motivation

- Equation (1) emerges as the correct evolution equation for the mean-field dynamics of many-body quantum systems modelling pseudo-relativistic boson stars in astrophysics (Elgart and Schlein, 2007).
- The external potential  $V$  accounts for gravitational fields from other stars.
- Eq. (1) can also be derived from the coupling of a pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equation and a Poisson equation:

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left( \sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} - m \right) \psi + V\psi - U\psi \\ -\Delta U = |\psi|^2 \end{cases}$$



# Solitary waves

An *ansatz* like

$$\psi(t, x) = e^{it\lambda/\varepsilon} u(x)$$

leads to the non-local stationary equation

$$\sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} u + Vu = \left( \frac{1}{|x|} * |u|^2 \right) u$$

where we write  $V$  instead of  $V + \lambda - m$ .

More generally, we will study the equation

$$\sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} u + Vu = (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2)$$

where

- $m > 0, 2 \leq p < 2N/(N-1)$

More generally, we will study the equation

$$\sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} u + Vu = (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2)$$

where

- $m > 0, 2 \leq p < 2N/(N-1)$
- $V: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$





More generally, we will study the equation

$$\sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} u + Vu = (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2)$$





where

- $m > 0$ ,  $2 \leq p < 2N/(N-1)$
- $V: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- $I_\alpha(x) = c_{N,\alpha} |x|^{\alpha-N}$ . We will assume for simplicity that  $c_{N,\alpha} = 1$ .

# Essential bibliography for non-relativistic Hartree eq.

-  J. Wei, M. Winter, *Strongly interacting bumps for the Schrödinger–Newton equation*, J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009), 012905.
-  S. Cingolani, S. Secchi, M. Squassina, *Semi-classical limit for Schrödinger equations with magnetic field and Hartree-type nonlinearities*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh **140A** (2010), 973–1009.
-  S. Cingolani, M. Clapp, S. Secchi, *Intertwining semiclassical solutions to a Schrödinger–Newton system*, Discrete Continuous Dynamical Systems Series S **6** (2013), 898–908.
-  V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, *Semi-classical states for the Choquard equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, to appear.

# Essential bibliography for pseudo-relativistic H. eq. without external potentials

-  E.H. Lieb, H.-T. Yau, *The Chandrasekhar theory of stellar collapse as the limit of quantum mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **112** (1987), 147–174.
-  E. Lenzmann, *Uniqueness of ground states for pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations*, Analysis and PDE **2** (2009), 1–27.
-  V. Cozi Zelati, M. Nolasco, *Existence of ground states for nonlinear, pseudorelativistic Schrödinger equations*, Red. Lincei Mat. Appl. **22** (2011), 51–72.
-  V. Cozi Zelati, M. Nolasco, *Ground states for pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations of critical type*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **22** (2013), 1421–1436.

# Essential bibliography for pseudo-relativistic H. eq. with external potentials



M. Melgaard, F. Zongo, *Multiple solutions of the quasirelativistic Choquard equation*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), no. 3, 033709, 12 pp.



S. Cingolani, S. Secchi, *Ground states for the pseudo-relativistic Hartree equation with external potential*, Proc. of the Royal Soc. Edinburgh (2015)

# Essential bibliography for semiclassical limits of pseudorelativistic H. eq.



G.L. Aki, P.A. Markowich, C. Sparber, *Classical limit for semirelativistic Hartree systems*, J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008), no. 10, 102110, 10 pp.



# Scaling and main result

Replacing  $u$  by  $y \mapsto \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1-p)}} u(\varepsilon y)$  transforms

$$\sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} u + V u = (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

into

$$\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} u + V_\varepsilon u = (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (3)$$

with  $V_\varepsilon(y) = V(\varepsilon y)$ . Let us define  $\mathcal{M} = \{y \in O \mid V(y) = V_0\}$ .

# Scaling and main result

Replacing  $u$  by  $y \mapsto \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2(1-p)}} u(\varepsilon y)$  transforms

$$\sqrt{-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + m^2} u + V u = (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

into

$$\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} u + V_\varepsilon u = (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (3)$$

with  $V_\varepsilon(y) = V(\varepsilon y)$ . Let us define  $\mathcal{M} = \{y \in O \mid V(y) = V_0\}$ .  
We assume that

- (V)  $V: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous and bounded function such that  $V_{\min} = \inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V > -m$  and there exists a bounded open set  $O \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with the property that

$$V_0 = \inf_O V < \min_{\partial O} V.$$

## Theorem

Retain assumption (V) and assume that  $2 \leq p < 2N/(N - 1)$  and  $(N - 1)p - N < \alpha < N$ . Then, for every sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a solution  $u_\varepsilon \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of equation (3) such that  $u_\varepsilon$  has a local maximum point  $y_\varepsilon$  satisfying

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{dist}(\varepsilon y_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}) = 0,$$

and for which

$$u_\varepsilon(y) \leq C_1 \exp(-C_2|y - y_\varepsilon|)$$

for suitable constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$ .

## Theorem (continued)

Moreover, for any sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_n$  with  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ , there exists a subsequence, still denoted by the same symbol, such that there exist a point  $y_0 \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\varepsilon_n y_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow y_0$ , and a positive least-energy solution  $U \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of the equation

$$\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} U + V_0 U = (I_\alpha * U^p) U^{p-1}$$

for which we have

$$u_{\varepsilon_n}(y) = U(y - y_{\varepsilon_n}) + \mathcal{R}_n(y)$$

where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{R}_n\|_{H^{1/2}} = 0$ .

# Variational setting

We adapt the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator used by Caffarelli and Silvestre to turn our equation into a *local* equation. Given  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we solve uniquely

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v + m^2 v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ v(0, y) = u(y) & \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R}^N = \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}. \end{cases}$$

Here we have set

$$\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} = \{(x, y) \mid x > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$$

Setting  $Tu(y) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(0, y)$ , the function  $w(x, y) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y)$  solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + m^2 w = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ W(0, y) = Tu(y) & \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R}^N = \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}. \end{cases}$$

Setting  $Tu(y) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(0, y)$ , the function  $w(x, y) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y)$  solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + m^2 w = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ W(0, y) = Tu(y) & \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R}^N = \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}. \end{cases}$$

Hence we easily get  $T \circ T = -\Delta + m^2$ . Our nonlocal problem (3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_\varepsilon + m^2 v_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ -\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x}(0, y) = -V_\varepsilon(y)v_\varepsilon(0, y) + \\ \quad + (I_\alpha * |v_\varepsilon(0, \cdot)|^p) |v_\varepsilon(0, y)|^{p-2} v_\varepsilon(0, y) & \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

# The Euler functional

A convenient setting to solve this Neumann system is  
 $H = H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$  with the associated continuous trace operator  
 $\gamma: H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .



# The Euler functional

A convenient setting to solve this Neumann system is  $H = H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$  with the associated continuous trace operator  $\gamma: H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

We introduce the action function  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(v) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla v|^2 dx dy + \frac{m^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |v|^2 dx dy \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon |\gamma(v)|^2 dy - \frac{1}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |\gamma(v)|^p) |\gamma(v)|^p dy. \end{aligned}$$

## Remark

*It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon \in C^1(H)$  and that  $D\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(v) = 0$  if and only if  $v$  (weakly) solves (4).*

# The limit problem

At least formally, the limit problem as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  is

$$\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2}u + au = (I_\alpha * |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u \quad (5)$$

whose Euler functional in the augmented space  $H$  is

$$\begin{aligned} L_a(v) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} (|\nabla v|^2 + m^2|v|^2) dx dy \\ & + \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\gamma(v)|^2 dy - \frac{1}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |\gamma(v)|^p) |\gamma(v)|^p dy. \end{aligned}$$

# Ground states

For  $a > -m$  we consider

$$m_a = \inf \{L_a(v) \mid DL_a(v) = 0, v \in H \setminus \{0\}\}$$

and the set  $S_a$  of elements  $v > 0$  such that  $L_a(v) = m_a$ .

# Ground states

For  $a > -m$  we consider

$$m_a = \inf \{L_a(v) \mid DL_a(v) = 0, v \in H \setminus \{0\}\}$$

and the set  $S_a$  of elements  $v > 0$  such that  $L_a(v) = m_a$ .  
It is possible to prove that minimizers of

$$\tilde{m}_a = \inf_{\substack{u \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \sqrt{(m^2 - \Delta)^{1/2} - mu} \right|^2 + (a + m)|u|^2}{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^p) |u|^p \right)^{1/p}}$$

give rise to minimizers of  $m_a$  via a simple rescaling. By symmetrization on  $\tilde{m}_a$ , minimizers are positive and radially symmetric around some point.

## Proposition

For any  $a > -m$  the set  $S_a$  is non-empty. Moreover, it is compact in  $H$  and for some  $C > 0$  and any  $\sigma \in (-V_{\min}, m) \cap [0, +\infty)$  the estimate

$$v(x, y) \leq C e^{-(m-\sigma)\sqrt{x^2+|y|^2}} e^{-\sigma x}$$

for every  $v \in S_a$ .

## A penalization scheme

For

$$\delta = \frac{1}{10} \operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus O) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \in (0, \delta)$$

we fix a cut-off  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  everywhere,  $\varphi(x, y) = 1$  if  $x + |y| \leq \beta$ , and  $\varphi(x, y) = 0$  if  $x + |y| \geq 2\beta$ .

# A penalization scheme

For

$$\delta = \frac{1}{10} \operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \mathcal{O}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \in (0, \delta)$$

we fix a cut-off  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  everywhere,  $\varphi(x, y) = 1$  if  $x + |y| \leq \beta$ , and  $\varphi(x, y) = 0$  if  $x + |y| \geq 2\beta$ .

Setting  $\varphi_\varepsilon(x, y) = \varphi(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y)$ , for any  $U \in S_{V_0}$  and any point  $y_0 \in \mathcal{M}^\beta$  we define

$$U_\varepsilon^{y_0}(x, y) = \varphi_\varepsilon \left( x, y - \frac{y_0}{\varepsilon} \right) U \left( x, y - \frac{y_0}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

## Remark

$\mathcal{M}^\beta$  denotes the closed  $\beta$ -neighborhood of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We also define, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\chi_\varepsilon(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \in O_\varepsilon \\ \varepsilon^{-6/\mu} & \text{if } y \notin O_\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

and

$$Q_\varepsilon(v) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon \gamma(v)^2 dy - 1 \right)_+^{\frac{2p+1}{2}}$$

for  $v \in H$ .



We also define, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\chi_\varepsilon(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \in O_\varepsilon \\ \varepsilon^{-6/\mu} & \text{if } y \notin O_\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

and

$$Q_\varepsilon(v) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon \gamma(v)^2 dy - 1 \right)_+^{\frac{2p+1}{2}}$$

for  $v \in H$ .

Finally, let

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon(v) = \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon^\rho(v) + Q_\varepsilon(v), \quad v \in H.$$

# Looking for a solution

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we will look for a solution near the set of approximate solutions

$$X_\varepsilon = \left\{ U_\varepsilon^{y_0} \mid y_0 \in \mathcal{M}^\beta \text{ and } U \in S_{V_0} \right\}$$

# Representation of (PS) sequences

## Proposition

Let  $d > 0$  be small enough, and let  $\{\varepsilon_j\}_j$  be such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_j = 0$  and let  $\{v_{\varepsilon_j}\} \subset X_{\varepsilon_j}^d$  be such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma_{\varepsilon_j}(v_{\varepsilon_j}) \leq E_{V_0}, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma'_{\varepsilon_j}(v_{\varepsilon_j}) = 0.$$

Then there exist — up to a subsequence —  $\{\tilde{y}_j\}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , a point  $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $U \in S_{V_0}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} |\varepsilon_j \tilde{y}_j - \bar{y}| &= 0 \\ \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| v_{\varepsilon_j} - \varphi_{\varepsilon_j}(\cdot, \cdot - \tilde{y}_j) U(\cdot, \cdot - \tilde{y}_j) \right\| &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

# Critical points of the penalized functional

By a contradiction argument, we can prove

# Critical points of the penalized functional

By a contradiction argument, we can prove

## **Proposition**

*For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, the functional  $\Gamma_\varepsilon$  has a critical point  $v_\varepsilon \in X_\varepsilon^d$ , i.e. localized near  $X_\varepsilon$ .*

# Critical points of the penalized functional

By a contradiction argument, we can prove

## **Proposition**

*For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, the functional  $\Gamma_\varepsilon$  has a critical point  $v_\varepsilon \in X_\varepsilon^d$ , i.e. localized near  $X_\varepsilon$ .*

To prove our main result, we now claim that  $v_\varepsilon$  is actually a critical point of  $\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon$ .

Recall that

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon(v) = \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(v) + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon \gamma(v)^2 dy - 1 \right)_+^{\frac{2p+1}{2}}.$$

To prove the claim, it is enough to show that  $v_\varepsilon(x, y)$  is small when  $y$  is far from  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Recall that

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon(v) = \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(v) + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi_\varepsilon \gamma(v)^2 dy - 1 \right)_+^{\frac{2p+1}{2}}.$$

To prove the claim, it is enough to show that  $v_\varepsilon(x, y)$  is small when  $y$  is far from  $\mathcal{M}$ .

More precisely we can prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus ([0, +\infty) \times (\mathcal{M}^{2\beta})_\varepsilon)} |v_\varepsilon(x, y)| = 0,$$

and our main theorem follows.



Thank you for your attention and...

Goodbye!

