

# CRITICAL EXPONENTS OF SYMMETRIC ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS WITH WEIGHTED NONLINEARITIES

Djairo G. de Figueiredo

IMECC-UNICAMP

September 2015

# OUTLINE

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 FIRST PART- EQUATIONS
- 3 SECOND PART-HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS
- 4 THIRD PART - DIMENSION 2

# THE ROLE OF SYMMETRY

# THE ROLE OF SYMMETRY

Quoting Michel Willem:

Symmetry plays a basic role in variational problems. For example, the imbedding  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is noncompact, because of the action of translations. If  $\Omega$  is bounded, the imbedding  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$  is noncompact, because of the action of dilations. When the problem is invariant by a group of orthogonal transformations, the situation is different.

# THE ROLE OF SYMMETRY

Quoting Michel Willem:

Symmetry plays a basic role in variational problems. For example, the imbedding  $W^{1,2}(R^N) \subset L^2(R^N)$  is noncompact, because of the action of translations. If  $\Omega$  is bounded, the imbedding  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^{2^*}(\Omega)$  is noncompact, because of the action of dilations. When the problem is invariant by a group of orthogonal transformations, the situation is different.

This talk will consider problems that have some symmetry, and radial symmetric solutions are looked for. In the first part of this talk, we consider equations in dimension  $N \geq 3$ . In the second part, we consider Hamiltonian systems. And in the third part, we present some new results for the case  $N = 2$ .

# 1st PART- EQUATIONS

## THE WORK OF STRAUSS

# 1st PART- EQUATIONS

## THE WORK OF STRAUSS

We mentioned that the imbedding  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is noncompact. *Symmetry* will change things. Let

# 1st PART- EQUATIONS

## THE WORK OF STRAUSS

We mentioned that the imbedding  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is noncompact. *Symmetry* will change things. Let

$$W_{\text{rad}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : gu = u, \forall g \in O(N)\}$$



# 1st PART- EQUATIONS

## THE WORK OF STRAUSS

We mentioned that the imbedding  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is noncompact. *Symmetry* will change things. Let

$$W_{\text{rad}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : gu = u, \forall g \in O(N)\}$$

In 1977, Strauss proved that the imbedding below is **compact**

$$W_{\text{rad}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

for  $1 < p < 2^*$ ,  $N \geq 2$ .

# 1st PART- EQUATIONS

## THE WORK OF STRAUSS

We mentioned that the imbedding  $W^{1,2}(R^N) \subset L^2(R^N)$  is noncompact. *Symmetry* will change things. Let

$$W_{\text{rad}}^{1,2}(R^N) = \{u \in W^{1,2}(R^N) : gu = u, \forall g \in O(N)\}$$

In 1977, Strauss proved that the imbedding below is **compact**

$$W_{\text{rad}}^{1,2}(R^N) \subset L^p(R^N)$$

for  $1 < p < 2^*$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . As a consequence, he proved: equation below has a positive radially symmetric solution

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = |u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } R^N, \\ 1 < p < 2^*. \end{cases}$$

# THE RADIAL LEMMA OF STRAUSS

The main step in the proof of the above statement is a *Radial Lemma*:

# THE RADIAL LEMMA OF STRAUSS

The main step in the proof of the above statement is a *Radial Lemma*:

Let  $N \geq 2$ . Every radial function in  $W^{1,2}(R^N)$  is almost everywhere equal to a function  $U(x)$ , continuous for  $x \neq 0$ , such that

$$|U(x)| \leq C|x|^{\frac{1-N}{2}} \|u\|_{W^{1,2}}$$

# THE RADIAL LEMMA OF STRAUSS

The main step in the proof of the above statement is a *Radial Lemma*:

Let  $N \geq 2$ . Every radial function in  $W^{1,2}(R^N)$  is almost everywhere equal to a function  $U(x)$ , continuous for  $x \neq 0$ , such that

$$|U(x)| \leq C|x|^{\frac{1-N}{2}} \|u\|_{W^{1,2}}$$

.

Ref: W. Strauss- *Solitary Waves in Higher Dimensions* (1977)

# THE WORK OF NI

## THE WORK OF NI

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , be the open unit ball centered at zero in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  
 $N \geq 3$ .

In 1982, Ni proved that for  $\alpha > 0$ , the space  $W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,2}(B)$  can be imbedded in  $L^p(B, |x|^\alpha)$  with  $p$  greater than the critical Sobolev exponent  $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ , namely up to  $p = 2_\alpha^* := \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$ .

# THE WORK OF NI

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , be the open unit ball centered at zero in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  
 $N \geq 3$ .

In 1982, Ni proved that for  $\alpha > 0$ , the space  $W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,2}(B)$  can be imbedded in  $L^p(B, |x|^\alpha)$  with  $p$  greater than the critical Sobolev exponent  $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ , namely up to  $p = 2_\alpha^* := \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$ .

Ni observed that the possible singular behavior at zero of a function  $u \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,2}(B)$  can be uniformly controlled by a weight vanishing adequately at the origin. This is the whole idea of of the so-called *Henon problems*. As a consequence the equation below has a weak solution.



## THE WORK OF NI

Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , be the open unit ball centered at zero in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  
 $N \geq 3$ .

In 1982, Ni proved that for  $\alpha > 0$ , the space  $W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,2}(B)$  can be imbedded in  $L^p(B, |x|^\alpha)$  with  $p$  greater than the critical Sobolev exponent  $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ , namely up to  $p = 2_\alpha^* := \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$ .

Ni observed that the possible singular behavior at zero of a function  $u \in W_{0,\text{rad}}^{1,2}(B)$  can be uniformly controlled by a weight vanishing adequately at the origin. This is the whole idea of of the so-called *Henon problems*. As a consequence the equation below has a weak solution.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^\alpha |u|^{p-1} u & \text{in } B, \quad \text{with } u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B. \\ \alpha > 0, 1 < p < 2_\alpha^*. \end{cases}$$

# THE RADIAL LEMMA

# THE RADIAL LEMMA

The main step in Ni's proof is the Radial Lemma that gives the precise behavior of a function  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B)$ ,  $N \geq 3$  near the origin.

# THE RADIAL LEMMA

The main step in Ni's proof is the Radial Lemma that gives the precise behavior of a function  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B)$ ,  $N \geq 3$  near the origin.

$$|u(x)| \leq C \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}}{|x|^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \quad \forall x \neq 0$$

# THE RADIAL LEMMA

The main step in Ni's proof is the Radial Lemma that gives the precise behavior of a function  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(B)$ ,  $N \geq 3$  near the origin.

$$|u(x)| \leq C \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}}{|x|^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}, \quad \forall x \neq 0$$

Ref.: W.M.Ni- *A nonlinear Dirichlet problem on the unit ball and applications* (1982)

# HIGHER ORDER OPERATORS

# HIGHER ORDER OPERATORS

What can be said about the problem for the biharmonic?

# HIGHER ORDER OPERATORS

What can be said about the problem for the biharmonic?

$$\Delta^2 u = |x|^\alpha |u|^{p-1} u, \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{with } \mathcal{B}u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where

- 1  $\mathcal{B}u = u, \Delta u$  (Navier boundary condition) or
- 2  $\mathcal{B}u = u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$  (Dirichlet boundary condition).



# HIGHER ORDER OPERATORS

What can be said about the problem for the biharmonic?

$$\Delta^2 u = |x|^\alpha |u|^{p-1} u, \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{with } \mathcal{B}u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where

- 1  $\mathcal{B}u = u, \Delta u$  (Navier boundary condition) or
- 2  $\mathcal{B}u = u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$  (Dirichlet boundary condition).

As in the case of the Laplacian, the critical exponent for the Biharmonic also changes  $\frac{N+2(2+\alpha)}{N-4}$ ,  $N > 4$ .

# HIGHER ORDER OPERATORS

What can be said about the problem for the biharmonic?

$$\Delta^2 u = |x|^\alpha |u|^{p-1} u, \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{with } \mathcal{B}u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where

- 1  $\mathcal{B}u = u, \Delta u$  (Navier boundary condition) or
- 2  $\mathcal{B}u = u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$  (Dirichlet boundary condition).

As in the case of the Laplacian, the critical exponent for the Biharmonic also changes  $\frac{N+2(2+\alpha)}{N-4}$ ,  $N > 4$ .

References: Dalmaso (1990) with NBC, Ferrero, Gazzola, Weth (2007), deFigueiredo, dosSantos, Miyagaki (2011) both NBC and DBC.

# A MORE GENERAL RADIAL LEMMA

## A MORE GENERAL RADIAL LEMMA

To consider Henon problems for higher order equations (in particular to prove this result for the biharmonic) we need a more general radial lemma.

Let  $W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B)$  denote the space of functions in  $W^{m,p}(B)$  which are radially symmetric. Here  $B$  denotes the unit ball centered at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$

## A MORE GENERAL RADIAL LEMMA

To consider Henon problems for higher order equations (in particular to prove this result for the biharmonic) we need a more general radial lemma.

Let  $W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B)$  denote the space of functions in  $W^{m,p}(B)$  which are radially symmetric. Here  $B$  denotes the unit ball centered at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$

$$L^q(B, |x|^\beta) = \{u : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable; } \int_B |u|^q |x|^\beta dx < +\infty\}.$$

## A MORE GENERAL RADIAL LEMMA

To consider Henon problems for higher order equations (in particular to prove this result for the biharmonic) we need a more general radial lemma.

Let  $W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B)$  denote the space of functions in  $W^{m,p}(B)$  which are radially symmetric. Here  $B$  denotes the unit ball centered at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$

$$L^q(B, |x|^\beta) = \{u : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable; } \int_B |u|^q |x|^\beta dx < +\infty\}.$$

We start with a result on the regularity of functions in  $W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B)$

### Theorem

*(DeF-DosSantos-Miyagaki) Every  $u \in W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B)$  is a. e. equal to a  $U \in C^{m-1}(\bar{B} \setminus \{0\})$ . In addition,  $D^\alpha U(x)$  (in the classical sense) exists a.e.  $|x| \in (0, 1)$ , for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = m$ .*

# A MORE GENERAL RADIAL LEMMA, cont.

# A MORE GENERAL RADIAL LEMMA, cont.

## Theorem

① If  $N > mp$ , then  $\exists C > 0$  s.t. for all  $u \in W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B)$

$$|U(x)| \leq C \frac{\left[ \int_B \left( \sum_{j=0}^m |D^j u|^p \right) dx \right]^{1/p}}{|x|^{(N-mp)/p}}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{B} \setminus \{0\}.$$

As a consequence,  $W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B) \hookrightarrow L^q(B, |x|^\beta)$  for every  $1 \leq q \leq \frac{p(N+\beta)}{N-mp}$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ .



# A MORE GENERAL RADIAL LEMMA, cont.

## Theorem

- ① If  $N > mp$ , then  $\exists C > 0$  s.t. for all  $u \in W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B)$

$$|U(x)| \leq C \frac{\left[ \int_B \left( \sum_{j=0}^m |D^j u|^p \right) dx \right]^{1/p}}{|x|^{(N-mp)/p}}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{B} \setminus \{0\}.$$

As a consequence,  $W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B) \hookrightarrow L^q(B, |x|^\beta)$  for every  $1 \leq q \leq \frac{p(N+\beta)}{N-mp}$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ .

- ② If  $N = mp$  and  $p > 1$ , then  $\exists C > 0$  s.t. for all  $u \in W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B)$

$$|U(x)| \leq C \left[ \int_B \left( \sum_{j=0}^m |D^j u|^p \right) dx \right]^{1/p} \left( |\log |x||^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + 1 \right).$$

# TAKING THE PROBLEM TO DIMENSION 1

The next result is used to prove the estimates that appear in the radial lemma.

# TAKING THE PROBLEM TO DIMENSION 1

The next result is used to prove the estimates that appear in the radial lemma.

## Theorem

For  $p \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$1 \quad W_{rad}^{m,p}(B) \hookrightarrow W^{m,p}((0, 1), t^{N-1})$$

$$2 \quad W_{rad}^{1,p}(B) \equiv W^{1,p}((0, 1), t^{N-1})$$

$$3 \quad \text{If } m \geq 2, \text{ then } W_{rad}^{m,p}(B) \equiv W^{m,p}((0, 1), t^{N-1}), \text{ if and only if } N(m-1)p$$

# AN EMBEDDING OF SOBOLEV SPACE INTO LEBESGUE WITH WEIGHT

## Corollary

*Let  $N > mp$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . If  $1 \leq q < \frac{p(N+\beta)}{N-mp}$ , then the imbedding  $W_{\text{rad}}^{m,p}(B) \hookrightarrow L^q(B, |x|^\beta)$  is compact.*

## 2nd PART- HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS

Hamiltonian systems are an important and challenging class of semilinear elliptic systems. When treated variationally, they lead to strongly indefinite functionals. We comment the model case.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |v|^{q-1}v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

## 2nd PART- HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS

Hamiltonian systems are an important and challenging class of semilinear elliptic systems. When treated variationally, they lead to strongly indefinite functionals. We comment the model case.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |v|^{q-1}v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

If  $p, q \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ , when  $N \geq 3$ , the functional

$$\Phi(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} \|u\|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} \|u\|^{q+1}.$$

It is well defined in  $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ . And one can safely use variational methods.

# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS, cont.

The system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is equivalent to the problem

# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS, cont.

The system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is equivalent to the problem

$$\Delta^2 u = |u|^{p-1}u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with  $u = \Delta u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$



# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS, cont.

The system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is equivalent to the problem

$$\Delta^2 u = |u|^{p-1}u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with  $u = \Delta u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$

which has a solution, in dimension  $N \geq 5$ , if  $p < \frac{N+4}{N-4}$ . And this power is greater than  $\frac{N+2}{N-2}$ .

# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS, cont.

The system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is equivalent to the problem

$$\Delta^2 u = |u|^{p-1}u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with  $u = \Delta u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$

which has a solution, in dimension  $N \geq 5$ , if  $p < \frac{N+4}{N-4}$ . And this power is greater than  $\frac{N+2}{N-2}$ .

**Natural question:** couldn't one can treat the Hamiltonian System if one of  $p$  or  $q$  is greater than  $\frac{N+2}{N-2}$  provided the other exponent is smaller to compensate?

# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS, cont.

The answer is **yes**.

# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS, cont.

The answer is **yes**.

This was observed first independently in the works of  
Clement-deF-Mitidieri– Comm Part Diff Eq (1992)  
Hulshof-van der Vorst– J. Funct Anal (1993)

# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS, cont.

The answer is **yes**.

This was observed first independently in the works of  
Clement-deF-Mitidieri– Comm Part Diff Eq (1992)  
Hulshof-van der Vorst– J. Funct Anal (1993)

and indeed the Hamiltonian system has a solution if  $p, q$  are below the Critical Hyperbola

$$\frac{N}{p+1} + \frac{N}{q+1} = N - 2.$$

## HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS, cont.

The answer is **yes**.

This was observed first independently in the works of  
Clement-deF-Mitidieri– Comm Part Diff Eq (1992)  
Hulshof-van der Vorst– J. Funct Anal (1993)

and indeed the Hamiltonian system has a solution if  $p, q$  are below the Critical Hyperbola

$$\frac{N}{p+1} + \frac{N}{q+1} = N - 2.$$

In order to treat the problem variationally one needs to use Fractional Sobolev Spaces. See next.

# FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV SPACES

Consider the basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  formed by eigenfunctions  $\varphi_n$  of

$$-\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

with associated eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$ .

# FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV SPACES

Consider the basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  formed by eigenfunctions  $\varphi_n$  of

$$-\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

with associated eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$ . For  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we write

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n$$



# FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV SPACES

Consider the basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  formed by eigenfunctions  $\varphi_n$  of

$$-\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

with associated eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$ . For  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we write  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n$ . Then for  $0 \leq s \leq 2$  define the space (a Fractional Sobolev)

$$E^s(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^s |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

# FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV SPACES

Consider the basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  formed by eigenfunctions  $\varphi_n$  of

$$-\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

with associated eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$ . For  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we write  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n$ . Then for  $0 \leq s \leq 2$  define the space (a Fractional Sobolev)

$$E^s(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^s |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

The Fractional Laplacian is then defined as a mapping  $A^s : E^s(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  by

# FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV SPACES

Consider the basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  formed by eigenfunctions  $\varphi_n$  of

$$-\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

with associated eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$ . For  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we write  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n$ . Then for  $0 \leq s \leq 2$  define the space (a Fractional Sobolev)

$$E^s(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^s |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

The Fractional Laplacian is then defined as a mapping  $A^s : E^s(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  by

$$A^s u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\frac{s}{2}} a_n \varphi_n$$

# BACK TO HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS

The spaces  $E^s(\Omega)$  have the important embedding property

## Theorem

For  $0 \leq s \leq 2$  and  $\sigma \geq 1$  the inclusion

$$i : E^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\sigma(\Omega)$$

is continuous if

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{N}$$

and compact if there is strictly inequality.

# THE CRITICAL HYPERBOLA

Viewing to treat the system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |v|^{q-1}v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

# THE CRITICAL HYPERBOLA

Viewing to treat the system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |v|^{q-1}v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

we choose  $s + t = 2$  and

$$\frac{1}{p+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{N}, \quad \frac{1}{q+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{N}$$

# THE CRITICAL HYPERBOLA

Viewing to treat the system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |v|^{q-1}v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

we choose  $s + t = 2$  and

$$\frac{1}{p+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{N}, \quad \frac{1}{q+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{N}$$

Then using these Fractional Sobolev spaces we define the functional  $\Phi : E^s \times E^t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

# THE CRITICAL HYPERBOLA

Viewing to treat the system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |v|^{q-1}v, & -\Delta v = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

we choose  $s + t = 2$  and

$$\frac{1}{p+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{N}, \quad \frac{1}{q+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{N}$$

Then using these Fractional Sobolev spaces we define the functional  $\Phi : E^s \times E^t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Phi(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} A^s u A^t v - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} \|u\|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{\Omega} \|u\|^{q+1}.$$

which is well defined .



# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS WITH WEIGHT

Once more to look for symmetric solutions we consider the system in a ball  $B \subset R^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$  and  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ , the so-called Henon case

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^\beta |v|^{q-1} v, & -\Delta v = |x|^\alpha |u|^{p-1} u & \text{in } B, \\ u, v > 0 \text{ in } B, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS WITH WEIGHT

Once more to look for symmetric solutions we consider the system in a ball  $B \subset R^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$  and  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ , the so-called Henon case

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^\beta |v|^{q-1} v, & -\Delta v = |x|^\alpha |u|^{p-1} u & \text{in } B, \\ u, v > 0 \text{ in } B, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Again the presence of weights change the notion of critically. Existence is proved for  $(p, q)$  below the new critical hyperbola:

$$\frac{N + \alpha}{p + 1} + \frac{N + \beta}{q + 1} = N - 2$$

# HAMILTONEAN SYSTEMS WITH WEIGHT

Once more to look for symmetric solutions we consider the system in a ball  $B \subset R^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$  and  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ , the so-called Henon case

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^\beta |v|^{q-1} v, & -\Delta v = |x|^\alpha |u|^{p-1} u & \text{in } B, \\ u, v > 0 \text{ in } B, & u, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Again the presence of weights change the notion of critically. Existence is proved for  $(p, q)$  below the new critical hyperbola:

$$\frac{N + \alpha}{p + 1} + \frac{N + \beta}{q + 1} = N - 2$$

This problem has been extensively studied recently, see Calanchi-Ruf, Bonheure-dosSantos-Ramos, Musina-Sreenadh, Carioli-Musina

# TRUDINGER WORK

# TRUDINGER WORK

It is well known:  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ , for all  $2 \leq p < \infty$  where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded domain. However  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is not a subset of  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

# TRUDINGER WORK

It is well known:  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ , for all  $2 \leq p < \infty$  where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded domain. However  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is not a subset of  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

In 1967 Trudinger proved that  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is a subset of the Orlicz space  $L_A$ , where the N-function is given by

$$A(t) = e^{t^2} - 1$$

.

# TRUDINGER WORK

It is well known:  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ , for all  $2 \leq p < \infty$  where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded domain. However  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is not a subset of  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

In 1967 Trudinger proved that  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is a subset of the Orlicz space  $L_A$ , where the N-function is given by

$$A(t) = e^{t^2} - 1$$

.

(In fact, Trudinger proved a result for  $W^{m,p}$  with  $mp = N$ )

# TRUDINGER WORK

It is well known:  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ , for all  $2 \leq p < \infty$  where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded domain. However  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is not a subset of  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

In 1967 Trudinger proved that  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is a subset of the Orlicz space  $L_A$ , where the N-function is given by

$$A(t) = e^{t^2} - 1$$

.

(In fact, Trudinger proved a result for  $W^{m,p}$  with  $mp = N$ )

As a consequence, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{u(x)^2} dx - 1 < \infty, \forall u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$



# MOSER WORK

# MOSER WORK

In 1970 Moser, complementing the work of Trudinger proved that

# MOSER WORK

In 1970 Moser, complementing the work of Trudinger proved that

$$s(\theta) = \sup_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_2), \|\nabla u\|_2=1} \int [e^{\theta u^2} - 1] dx \quad (5)$$

is finite if  $\theta \leq 4\pi$  and infinite if  $\theta > 4\pi$ .

# MOSER WORK

In 1970 Moser, complementing the work of Trudinger proved that

$$s(\theta) = \sup_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_2), \|\nabla u\|_2=1} \int [e^{\theta u^2} - 1] dx \quad (5)$$

is finite if  $\theta \leq 4\pi$  and infinite if  $\theta > 4\pi$ .

The question of the **attainment** of  $s(\theta)$  by a function  $u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_2)$  is a very delicate matter. It was solved in 1986 by Carleson and Chang.

# A QUESTION

# A QUESTION

In analogy with the work of Ni and several other mathematicians with the case  $N \geq 3$ , one naturally asks:

## A QUESTION

In analogy with the work of Ni and several other mathematicians with the case  $N \geq 3$ , one naturally asks:

The  $4\pi$  of Moser could be increased if one uses a weight  $|x|^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  ?

## A QUESTION

In analogy with the work of Ni and several other mathematicians with the case  $N \geq 3$ , one naturally asks:

The  $4\pi$  of Moser could be increased if one uses a weight  $|x|^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  ?

That is, if one considers the equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^\alpha u e^{\beta u^2} & \text{in } B_2, \quad \text{with } u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_2. \\ \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$



## A QUESTION

In analogy with the work of Ni and several other mathematicians with the case  $N \geq 3$ , one naturally asks:

The  $4\pi$  of Moser could be increased if one uses a weight  $|x|^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  ?

That is, if one considers the equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^\alpha u e^{\beta u^2} & \text{in } B_2, \quad \text{with } u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_2. \\ \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

could  $\beta > 4\pi$ ?

# THE ANSWER

# THE ANSWER

In 2005, Calanchi-Terraneo proved

# THE ANSWER

In 2005, Calanchi-Terraneo proved

$$s_\alpha(\theta) = \sup_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_2), \|\nabla u\|_2=1} \int [e^{\theta u^2} - 1] |x|^\alpha dx \quad (6)$$

is finite if, and only if,  $\theta \leq 2\pi(2 + \alpha)$ .

# THE ANSWER

In 2005, Calanchi-Terraneo proved

$$s_\alpha(\theta) = \sup_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_2), \|\nabla u\|_2=1} \int [e^{\theta u^2} - 1] |x|^\alpha dx \quad (6)$$

is finite if, and only if,  $\theta \leq 2\pi(2 + \alpha)$ .

In 2008, Bonheure-Serra-Tarallo proved the respective result for  $H_{\text{rad}}^1(B_2)$ .

# THE ANSWER

In 2005, Calanchi-Terraneo proved

$$s_\alpha(\theta) = \sup_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_2), \|\nabla u\|_2=1} \int [e^{\theta u^2} - 1] |x|^\alpha dx \quad (6)$$

is finite if, and only if,  $\theta \leq 2\pi(2 + \alpha)$ .

In 2008, Bonheure-Serra-Tarallo proved the respective result for  $H_{\text{rad}}^1(B_2)$ .

To prove these results one needs a Radial Lemma for  $H_{\text{rad}}^1(B_2)$ .

# RADIAL LEMMA IN $N = 2$

RADIAL LEMMA IN  $N = 2$ 

$$|u(x)| \leq \|\nabla u\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{|\log(|x|)|}{2\pi}}, \quad \forall x \in B \setminus \{0\}, \forall u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B); \quad (7)$$



# RADIAL LEMMA IN $N = 2$

$$|u(x)| \leq \|\nabla u\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{|\log(|x|)|}{2\pi}}, \quad \forall x \in B \setminus \{0\}, \forall u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B); \quad (7)$$

This result appears in Bonheure-Serra-Tarallo (2008), Adimurthi-doO-Tintarev (2010), and deFigueiredo-dosSantos-Miyagaki (2011), where there is a more general version.

# A GENERAL INEQUALITY

Since the Radial Lemma provides the precise growth of a  $u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B)$  near the origin, one also has the exact growth of  $F(u(x))$ , where  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . So we need a weight  $h(|x|)$  to obtain integrability.

# A GENERAL INEQUALITY

Since the Radial Lemma provides the precise growth of a  $u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B)$  near the origin, one also has the exact growth of  $F(u(x))$ , where  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . So we need a weight  $h(|x|)$  to obtain integrability.

We present the precise balance between  $h(r)$  and  $F(t)$  that guarantees

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_{F,h} = \sup_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_2), \|\nabla u\|_2=1} J_{F,h}(u), \quad \text{with,} \\ J_{F,h}(u) = \int F(u)h(|x|)dx \end{array} \right.$$

to be finite.

# A GENERAL INEQUALITY

Since the Radial Lemma provides the precise growth of a  $u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B)$  near the origin, one also has the exact growth of  $F(u(x))$ , where  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . So we need a weight  $h(|x|)$  to obtain integrability.

We present the precise balance between  $h(r)$  and  $F(t)$  that guarantees

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} s_{F,h} = \sup_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_2), \|\nabla u\|_2=1} J_{F,h}(u), \quad \text{with,} \\ J_{F,h}(u) = \int F(u)h(|x|)dx \end{array} \right.$$

to be finite. The next results are due to deF-DoO-dosSantos.

# HYPOTHESES ON $h$ and $F$

# HYPOTHESES ON $h$ and $F$

(H1)  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $h(t) > 0$  for every  $t > 0$  and  $h$  is non-decreasing.

# HYPOTHESES ON $h$ and $F$

- (H1)  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $h(t) > 0$  for every  $t > 0$  and  $h$  is non-decreasing.
- (H2)  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is  $C^2$ , even, strictly increasing and  $F(0) = F'(0) = 0$ .

# HYPOTHESES ON $h$ and $F$

- (H1)  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $h(t) > 0$  for every  $t > 0$  and  $h$  is non-decreasing.
- (H2)  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is  $C^2$ , even, strictly increasing and  $F(0) = F'(0) = 0$ .
- (H3) There exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\frac{F(t)}{e^{4\pi t^2}}$  is non-decreasing on  $[R, \infty)$ .



# HYPOTHESES ON $h$ and $F$

- (H1)  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,  $h(t) > 0$  for every  $t > 0$  and  $h$  is non-decreasing.
- (H2)  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is  $C^2$ , even, strictly increasing and  $F(0) = F'(0) = 0$ .
- (H3) There exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\frac{F(t)}{e^{4\pi t^2}}$  is non-decreasing on  $[R, \infty)$ .

Observe that the special case we discussed before

$$h(t) = |t|^\alpha, \quad F(t) = e^{\beta t^2}$$

# WHEN $s_{F,h}$ is finite

# WHEN $s_{F,h}$ is finite

## Theorem

If (H1)-(H3) and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t)h(e^{-2\pi t^2})}{e^{4\pi t^2}} < \infty \quad (\text{H4})$$

are satisfied, then  $s_{F,h}$  is finite.

Recall

$$s_{F,h} = \sup_{u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B_2), \|\nabla u\|_2=1} \int F(u)h(|x|)dx$$

# SKETCH OF THE PROOF

# SKETCH OF THE PROOF

The main step is to use the Radial Lemma

$$|u(x)| \leq \|\nabla u\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{|\log(|x|)|}{2\pi}}, \quad \forall x \in B \setminus \{0\}, \forall u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B); \quad (8)$$

and Hypotheses (H1)-(H4)  
and reduce the proof to prove

# SKETCH OF THE PROOF

The main step is to use the Radial Lemma

$$|u(x)| \leq \|\nabla u\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{|\log(|x|)|}{2\pi}}, \quad \forall x \in B \setminus \{0\}, \quad \forall u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B); \quad (8)$$

and Hypotheses (H1)-(H4)  
and reduce the proof to prove

$$0 \leq F(u(x))h(|x|) \leq C(e^{4\pi u^2(x)} - 1), \quad \forall u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B) \text{ with } \|\nabla u\|_2 \leq 1, \quad (9)$$

# SKETCH OF THE PROOF

The main step is to use the Radial Lemma

$$|u(x)| \leq \|\nabla u\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{|\log(|x|)|}{2\pi}}, \quad \forall x \in B \setminus \{0\}, \forall u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B); \quad (8)$$

and Hypotheses (H1)-(H4)  
and reduce the proof to prove

$$0 \leq F(u(x))h(|x|) \leq C(e^{4\pi u^2(x)} - 1), \quad \forall u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B) \text{ with } \|\nabla u\|_2 \leq 1, \quad (9)$$

Then Trudinger-Moser comes to save us.

# ON HYPOTHESIS (H-4)

A stronger hypothesis on the function  $h$ , namely



## ON HYPOTHESIS (H-4)

A stronger hypothesis on the function  $h$ , namely

$$\frac{h'(r)r}{h(r)} \rightarrow \beta < +\infty, r \rightarrow +\infty$$

implies that (H-4) is a necessary condition for the finiteness of  $S_{F,h}$ .

# THE ATTAINMENT OF $s_{F,h}$

# THE ATTAINMENT OF $s_{F,h}$

Now we separate the problem in two classes

## Definition

We say that the maximization problem is  $h(r)$ -radially subcritical if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t)h(e^{-2\pi t^2})}{e^{4\pi t^2}} = 0, \quad (\text{H5})$$

and that it is  $h(r)$ -radially critical if

$$0 < \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t)h(e^{-2\pi t^2})}{e^{4\pi t^2}} < \infty. \quad (\text{H6})$$

# THE ATTAINMENT OF $s_{F,h}$ cont.

## THE ATTAINMENT OF $s_{F,h}$ cont.

Next we present our results on the attainment of  $s_{F,h}$ , firstly in the  $h(r)$ -radially subcritical case.

### Theorem

*Assume (H1)-(H3) and (H5). Then  $s_{F,h}$  is attained.*

## THE ATTAINMENT OF $s_{F,h}$ cont.

Next we present our results on the attainment of  $s_{F,h}$ , firstly in the  $h(r)$ -radially subcritical case.

### Theorem

*Assume (H1)-(H3) and (H5). Then  $s_{F,h}$  is attained.*

We use notion of normalized concentrating sequence

### Definition

A sequence  $(u_n) \subset H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B)$  is a normalized concentrating sequence if:

- (i)  $\|\nabla u_n\|_2 = 1$  for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ ,
- (ii)  $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$  in  $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B)$ ,
- (iii)  $\int_{B \setminus B_\rho(0)} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $\rho > 0$  fixed.

# THE ATTAINMENT OF $s_{F,h}$ cont.

## THE ATTAINMENT OF $s_{F,h}$ cont.

And show that no maximization sequence is a normalized concentrating sequence.



## THE ATTAINMENT OF $s_{F,h}$ cont.

And show that no maximization sequence is a normalized concentrating sequence. Using the P.-L.Lions

Concentrating-Compactness Principle we complete the proof.

### Theorem

*Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded smooth domain and let  $(u_n) \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a sequence such that  $\|\nabla u_n\|_2 \leq 1$  for every  $n \in \mathcal{N}$  and such that*

*$u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $|\nabla u_n|^2 \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  in the sense of measures*

*Then either*

- (i)  $\mu = \delta_{x_0}$  for some  $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$  and  $u \equiv 0$ , or*
- (ii) there exists  $\beta > 4\pi$  such that  $(e^{u_n^2})$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^\beta(\Omega)$  and thus*

# THE CASE OF THE EXPONENTIAL

# THE CASE OF THE EXPONENTIAL

Observe that the case

$$h(t) = |t|^\alpha, \quad F(t) = e^{\beta t^2}, \quad \beta = 2\pi(2 + \alpha)$$

we discussed before is **not** a  $h(r)$ -radially subcritical case.

# THE CASE OF THE EXPONENTIAL

Observe that the case

$$h(t) = |t|^\alpha, \quad F(t) = e^{\beta t^2}, \quad \beta = 2\pi(2 + \alpha)$$

we discussed before is **not** a  $h(r)$ -radially subcritical case. .

The attainment in this case is obtained by convenient changes of variables and reducing to the Trudinger-Moser case; possible due to the special expressions of  $h, F$

## *$h(r)$ -radially critical case*

## *$h(r)$ -radially critical case*

For this case, we have the following result

## *$h(r)$ -radially critical case*

For this case, we have the following result

### Theorem

*Let  $\alpha \geq 0$  and consider  $h(r) = r^\alpha$ . Assume that  $F$  satisfies (H2) and that there exists  $0 \leq \lambda < 2\pi(2 + \alpha)$  such that*

$$e^{2\pi(2+\alpha)t^2} - 1 - \lambda t^2 \leq F(t) \leq e^{2\pi(2+\alpha)t^2} - 1, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (\text{H7})$$

*Then  $s_{F,h}$  is attained.*