

On Ordered Fields with Infinitely Many Integer Parts

Gurgen Asatryan

Université de Mons-Hainaut,
Institut de Mathématique,
Place du Parc 20,
7000 Mons, Belgique

E-mail: asatryan@umh.ac.be

Introduction

We investigate integer parts of ordered fields. We prove the existence of normal integer parts for a class of ordered fields. Along with the normal one we construct infinitely many elementary non-equivalent integer parts for each field from this class.

K is an ordered field,

G is an ordered abelian group (all the orders are total).

- A discretely ordered subring $M \subseteq K$ is called an **Integer Part** of K if $x \in K \Rightarrow \exists z \in M (z \leq x < z + 1)$.
- [Shepherdson] Models of **Open Induction** (OI) are the IP's of real closed fields (RCF).

Introduction

We investigate integer parts of ordered fields. We prove the existence of normal integer parts for a class of ordered fields. Along with the normal one we construct infinitely many elementary non-equivalent integer parts for each field from this class.

K is an ordered field,

G is an ordered abelian group (all the orders are total).

- A discretely ordered subring $M \subseteq K$ is called an **Integer Part** of K if $x \in K \Rightarrow \exists z \in M (z \leq x < z + 1)$.
- [Shepherdson] Models of **Open Induction** (OI) are the IP's of real closed fields (RCF).

OI - a first order theory in the language $\mathcal{L} = \{0, 1, +, \cdot, <\}$ which has the following axioms:

- axioms of DOR (discretely ordered ring),
- for each quantifier free \mathcal{L} -formula $\psi(\vec{x}, y)$ the following axiom:

$$\text{Ind}(\psi) : (\psi(\vec{x}, 0) \wedge \forall y \geq 0 [\psi(\vec{x}, y) \rightarrow \psi(\vec{x}, y + 1)]) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \forall y \geq 0 [\psi(\vec{x}, y)]$$

Introduction

- [Wilkie] Each discretely ordered \mathbb{Z} -ring can be embedded in a model of OI.
- Lou van den Dries extended the previous result for the normal case.
- Macintyre and Marker gave several constructions for extending discretely ordered rings and proved that some classical theorems of primes fail in OI or in NOI (=normality+OI).

Introduction

- [Wilkie] Each discretely ordered \mathbb{Z} -ring can be embedded in a model of OI.
- Lou van den Dries extended the previous result for the normal case.
- Macintyre and Marker gave several constructions for extending discretely ordered rings and proved that some classical theorems of primes fail in OI or in NOI (=normality+OI).
- [Mourgues and Ressayre] Each RCF has an IP.
- If k is archimedean then $k((G^<)) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is an IP of $k((G))$.
- A subfield $F \subseteq k((G))$ is called truncation closed if

$$\sum_{g \in G} a_g t^g \in F \Rightarrow \sum_{g \in G, g < g_0} a_g t^g \in F (g_0 \in G).$$

[in symbols $F \subseteq_{tr} k((G))$].

- $F \subseteq_{tr} k((G)) \Rightarrow F$ has an IP: $Neg(F) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.
[$Neg(F) = F \cap k((G^<))$, $G^< = \{g \in G | g < 0\}$]

Introduction

Construction by Mourgues and Ressayre: K is an RCF.

- a) $\exists K \hookrightarrow_{tr} k((G))$ (for suitable k and G).
- b) K has an IP.

The IP constructed in this way is called truncation IP of K .

Introduction

Construction by Mourgues and Ressayre: K is an RCF.

- a) $\exists K \hookrightarrow_{tr} k((G))$ (for suitable k and G).
- b) K has an IP.

The IP constructed in this way is called truncation IP of K .

Related Results.

- Fornasiero extended these results for ordered Henselian fields.
- Boughattas constructed a p -real closed field with no IP (p is an arbitrary prime).

The truncation IP of a non-archimedean RCF is never normal (as $\sqrt{2}$ is rational in such an IP).

Introduction

Construction by Mourgues and Ressayre: K is an RCF.

- a) $\exists K \hookrightarrow_{tr} k((G))$ (for suitable k and G).
- b) K has an IP.

The IP constructed in this way is called truncation IP of K .

Related Results.

- Fornasiero extended these results for ordered Henselian fields.
- Boughattas constructed a p -real closed field with no IP (p is an arbitrary prime).

The truncation IP of a non-archimedean RCF is never normal (as $\sqrt{2}$ is rational in such an IP).

Normality Condition. An IP M is called normal if $(x, y, c_1, \dots, c_n \in M)$:

$$x^n + c_1 x^{n-1} y + \dots + c_n y^n = 0 \Rightarrow \exists z \in M (x = yz).$$

Introduction

The following natural question is posed by S. Kuhlmann:

Does any RCF have a normal IP?

Berarducci and Otero constructed a normal IP of the field $k(t)^r$ (“r” signifies the real closure, $t \ll 1$), where

k is a recursive RCF, $k \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\text{trdeg}(k) = \aleph_0$.

This gave a positive answer to the question (posed by Macintyre and Marker) on existence of

*a nonstandard recursive normal model of OI
with cofinal set of primes.*

Thus the field $k(t)^r$ has at least two elementary non-equivalent IP's.

Main Results

- We give a recurrent construction which allows to generate new IP's based on the existed ones.
- We construct normal IP's for a class of ordered fields, giving a partial answer to the above mentioned question by S. Kuhlmann. This class consists of some truncation closed subfields of $\mathbb{R}((G))$ where G has an anti-well-ordered value-set.
- Each field from that class possesses an IP which satisfies the same homogeneous existential formulae as a prescribed archimedean field with an infinite transcendence degree.
- The class of elementary non-equivalent IP's of each field from the considered class is continuum.

Outline of the Main Steps

- Basic Construction
- Anti-well-ordered Case of the Value Set
- Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems
- Remarks

Basic Construction

Proposition

Let

- (a) $K \subseteq F \subseteq_{tr} K((G))$,
- (b) $M \subseteq H \subseteq K$. M is an IP, and H is a subfield of K ,
- (c) $\mu \stackrel{def}{=} \text{trdeg}(K/H) \geq |\text{Neg}(F)|$,
- (d) $cf(\mu) > |\text{Supp}(u)|$, for all $u \in \text{Neg}(F)$.

Then $\exists T \subseteq F \cap K((G^{\leq}))$ such that

- the elements of T are algebraically independent over H and
- $H[T]_0 \oplus M$ is an IP of F .

Basic Construction

Proposition

Let

- (a) $K \subseteq F \subseteq_{tr} K((G))$,
- (b) $M \subseteq H \subseteq K$. M is an IP, and H is a subfield of K ,
- (c) $\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{trdeg}(K/H) \geq |\text{Neg}(F)|$,
- (d) $cf(\mu) > |\text{Supp}(u)|$, for all $u \in \text{Neg}(F)$.

Then $\exists T \subseteq F \cap K((G^{\leq}))$ such that

- the elements of T are algebraically independent over H and
- $H[T]_0 \oplus M$ is an IP of F .

We denote:

- $H[T]_0 = \{p(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in T, p \in H[X]_0\}$,
- $H[X]_0 = \{p \in H[X] : \text{constant term of } p \text{ is } 0\}$
- $\text{Neg}(F) = F \cap K((G^<))$.

Basic Construction

Proof Sketch

E - transcendence base of K/H , $\bar{\mu}$ - the initial ordinal of μ .

- choose a suitable well-order \prec on E ,
- induce norm functions $\|\cdot\| : K \rightarrow E$, $\|\cdot\| : \text{Neg}(F) \rightarrow E$,
- construct a map $\lambda : \text{Neg}(F) \rightarrow E$ so that

$$\|u\| < \lambda(u) \quad (u \in \text{Neg}(F)),$$

- define $T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u + \lambda(u) \mid u \in T_1\}$, where $T_1 \subseteq \text{Neg}(F)$ will be defined based on the map λ ,
- prove that T is the desired set.

[Note that $\lambda(u) \in E \subseteq K$, whence $T \subseteq F \cap K((G^{\leq}))$.]

Basic Construction

Proof Sketch. Construction of λ

- 1) Let $(E, \prec) \simeq \bar{\mu}^{\omega+1}$ (ordinal exponentiation).
- 2) $H_e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H((-\infty, e])_K^{\text{alg}}$ ($e \in E$), $a \in K$, then

$$\|a\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{e \in E : a \in H_e\}.$$

Given $0 \neq u \in \text{Neg}(F)$, we define

$$\|u\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the lowest upper bound of } \{\|a\| : a \in \text{Coef}(u)\}.$$

- 3) $\|u\|$ **is well-defined**. In fact, by using (d), we have

$$\text{Neg}(F) = \bigcup_{e \in E} [F \cap H_e((G^<))]$$

and

$$\|u\| = \min\{e \in E \mid u \in H_e((G^<))\}.$$

We let $\|0\| = -\infty$, $\hat{E} = E \cup \{-\infty\}$.

- 4) $U_e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in \text{Neg}(F) : \|u\| = e\}$ ($e \in \hat{E}$).

Basic Construction

Proof Sketch. Construction of λ

5) We have the following partition of $Neg(F)$:

$$Neg(F) = \bigsqcup_{e \in \hat{E}} U_e$$

6) Choose $(U_e, \prec_e) \simeq$ the initial ordinal of cardinality $|U_e|$.

Define order on $Neg(F)$ lexicographically ($u \in U_{e_1}, w \in U_{e_2}$):

$$u \prec w \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} [e_1 \prec e_2 \vee (e_1 = e_2 \wedge u \prec_{e_1} w)].$$

7) $\exists \varphi : \bar{\mu} \times E \rightarrow E$ order-isomorphism

($\bar{\mu} \times E$ is ordered lexicographically from the right,

$$\bar{\mu} \cdot \bar{\mu}^{\omega+1} = \bar{\mu}^{\omega+1}).$$

8) $S_e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\varphi(i, e') \mid i < \bar{\mu}\} \simeq \bar{\mu}$ (e' is the successor of e in E).

$$E \supseteq \bigsqcup_{e \in \hat{E}} S_e. \quad (1)$$

9) $|U_e| \leq |Neg(F)| \leq \mu$ (by virtue of (c))

$\Rightarrow \exists$ an isotonic map $\lambda_e : U_e \rightarrow S_e$.

Basic Construction

Proof Sketch. The Integer Part

10) We define $\lambda : \text{Neg}(F) \rightarrow E$ by: $\lambda(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_e(u)$ ($u \in U_e$).

Thus, λ is isotonic. Moreover,

$$e \prec \varphi(i, e') \Rightarrow e \ll S_e \Rightarrow \|u\| < \lambda(u).$$

11) Define the subset $T_1 \subseteq \text{Neg}(F)$ by the following induction:

- $0 \notin T_1$ [note: $0 = \min(\text{Neg}(F))$],
- $0 \neq w \in \text{Neg}(F)$. By definition $w \notin T_1$ iff there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_i \in T_1$ ($u_i \prec w$, $i = \overline{1, n}$) and $p \in H[\vec{x}]$ satisfying

$$w = p(u_1 + \lambda(u_1), \dots, u_n + \lambda(u_n)) - p(\lambda(u_1), \dots, \lambda(u_n)).$$

12) The rest is to show that $T = \{u + \lambda(u) \mid u \in T_1\}$ satisfies the assertions based on the following facts.

(\star) $u_1 \prec \dots \prec u_n \in T_1 \Rightarrow \lambda(u_n) \in K((G))$ is transcendental over $H(u_1, \dots, u_n, \lambda(u_1), \dots, \lambda(u_{n-1}))$.

($\star\star$) $p(u_1 + \lambda(u_1), \dots, u_n + \lambda(u_n)) = p(\lambda(u_1), \dots, \lambda(u_n))$
 $p \in H[\vec{x}]$, $u_i \in T_1$ (pairwise distinct, $i = \overline{1, n}$) $\Rightarrow p \equiv \text{const.}$

Anti-well-ordered case of the value set

- The elements $g, g_1 \in G$ are called archimedean equivalent ($g \sim g_1$) if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|g| \leq n|g_1| \text{ \& } |g_1| \leq n|g|.$$

- The order $<$ on the set $[G] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[g] | g \in G\}$ is defined by:

$$[g] < [g_1] \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} |g| > |g_1| \text{ \& } g \not\sim g_1$$

(note that $[0]$ is the greatest element).

Anti-well-ordered case of the value set

- The elements $g, g_1 \in G$ are called archimedean equivalent ($g \sim g_1$) if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|g| \leq n|g_1| \text{ \& } |g_1| \leq n|g|.$$

- The order $<$ on the set $[G] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[g] | g \in G\}$ is defined by:

$$[g] < [g_1] \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} |g| > |g_1| \text{ \& } g \not\sim g_1$$

(note that $[0]$ is the greatest element).

- The natural valuation on G is the map $v : G \rightarrow [G]$ defined by: $v(g) = [g]$.
- The set $\Gamma = [G] \setminus \{[0]\}$ is called the value set of G .
- Hahn's Theorem states that each ordered abelian group G can be embedded in the Hahn group \mathbb{R}^Γ .
- $\mathbb{R}^\Gamma = \{g : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \text{Supp}(g) \text{ is well-ordered}\}$.
- $+$ is pointwise and $<$ is lexicographic (from the left) in \mathbb{R}^Γ .

Anti-well-ordered case of the value set

- We will consider the case when Γ is anti-well-ordered:
 $\Gamma \simeq \alpha^* = (\alpha, >)$ (ordinal with reversed order).
- Thus, $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\alpha^*}$.
- **Convex subgroups** of G ($\gamma \leq \alpha$):

$$C_\gamma = \{g \in G \mid v(g) \leq \gamma\} \text{ and } D_\gamma = \{g \in G \mid v(g) < \gamma\}.$$

Truncation closed subfields

Let G be divisible, and let $\mathbb{R}(G) \subseteq F \subseteq_{tr} \mathbb{R}((G))$,

Given $\gamma \leq \alpha$ we denote

$$F_\gamma = F \cap \mathbb{R}((C_\gamma)) \text{ and } \bar{F}_\gamma = F \cap \mathbb{R}((D_\gamma)).$$

Anti-well-ordered case of the value set

Thus

$$\mathbb{R}(C_\gamma) \subseteq F_\gamma \subseteq_{tr} \mathbb{R}((C_\gamma)) \text{ and } F_\alpha = \bar{F}_\alpha = F.$$

Given $\gamma < \beta \leq \alpha$ one has a canonical order-preserving isomorphism:

$$D_\beta \xrightarrow{\sim} D_\beta/D_\gamma \times D_\gamma.$$

This induces a canonical isomorphism

$$\rho : \mathbb{R}((D_\beta)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}((D_\gamma))((D_\beta/D_\gamma)).$$

- ρ preserves the truncation closed subfields,
- ρ is identical on $\mathbb{R}((D_\gamma))$.

We have

$$\bar{F}_\gamma \subseteq \rho\left(\bigcup_{i < \beta} F_i\right) \subseteq_{tr} \bar{F}_\gamma((D_\beta/D_\gamma)). \quad (2)$$

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

- R - an integral domain, $\text{char}(R) = 0$ ($\mathbb{Z} \subseteq R$),
- $TH_{\exists,h}(R)$ - the part of \exists -theory of R consisting of homogeneous formulae (in the language $\{0, 1, +, \cdot\}$).
- We call a formula homogeneous if its each atomic subformula has a form $f(\vec{x}) = 0$ (or $f(\vec{x}) \neq 0$) where $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\vec{x}]$ is homogeneous.
- k_0 - an archimedean field ($k_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$), $\text{trdeg}(\mathbb{R}/k_0) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

- R - an integral domain, $\text{char}(R) = 0$ ($\mathbb{Z} \subseteq R$),
- $TH_{\exists,h}(R)$ - the part of \exists -theory of R consisting of homogeneous formulae (in the language $\{0, 1, +, \cdot\}$).
- We call a formula homogeneous if its each atomic subformula has a form $f(\vec{x}) = 0$ (or $f(\vec{x}) \neq 0$) where $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\vec{x}]$ is homogeneous.
- k_0 - an archimedean field ($k_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$), $\text{trdeg}(\mathbb{R}/k_0) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Lemma 1. Let R be an integral domain, $\text{char}(R) = 0$, and let $X \neq \emptyset$ be a set of variables. Then

- $TH_{\exists,h}(R) \equiv TH_{\exists,h}(R[X]) \equiv TH_{\exists,h}(R[X]_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z})$,
- if R is normal then $\text{Quot}(R)[X]_0 \oplus R$ is normal.

Lemma 2.

- Let $K \subseteq L \subseteq F \subseteq_{tr} K((G))$, $L \subseteq_{tr} K((G))$, and let $M \subseteq F \cap K((G^{\leq}))$ be an IP of F . Then $L \cap M$ is an IP of L .
- if M is normal then $L \cap M$ is normal.

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

In the following theorem we construct IP's for a class of ordered fields whose homogeneous theories are equivalent to $TH_{\exists,h}(k_0)$.

Theorem

Let G be a divisible ordered abelian (non-trivial) group with anti-well-ordered value set α^ . Let $\mathbb{R}(G) \subseteq F \subseteq_{tr} \mathbb{R}((G))$ and $|F_\gamma| > |\gamma|$ ($\gamma \leq \alpha$). Then, assuming GCH, there exists an IP M of F such that $TH_{\exists,h}(M) \equiv TH_{\exists,h}(k_0)$.*

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

In the following theorem we construct IP's for a class of ordered fields whose homogeneous theories are equivalent to $TH_{\exists,h}(k_0)$.

Theorem

Let G be a divisible ordered abelian (non-trivial) group with anti-well-ordered value set α^ . Let $\mathbb{R}(G) \subseteq F \subseteq_{tr} \mathbb{R}((G))$ and $|F_\gamma| > |\gamma|$ ($\gamma \leq \alpha$). Then, assuming GCH, there exists an IP M of F such that $TH_{\exists,h}(M) \equiv TH_{\exists,h}(k_0)$.*

The **proof** is by induction and is mainly based on the aforementioned iterated construction (Proposition 1).

- let $I = \{\gamma \leq \alpha : i < \gamma \Rightarrow |F_i| < |F_\gamma|\}$.
- $\hat{\gamma}$ is the successor of γ in I ($\gamma = \max(I) \Rightarrow \hat{\gamma} = \alpha + 1$).
- $\tilde{\gamma}$ - the initial ordinal of $|F_\gamma|$. One has $\hat{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\gamma}$.

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

By induction on $\gamma \in I$ we construct a sequence of DOR's $(M_j \mid \gamma \leq j < \hat{\gamma})$ such that

- M_j be an IP of F_j ,
- the sequence $(M_j \mid j < \hat{\gamma})$ be a chain,
- $TH_{\exists, h}(M_j) \equiv TH_{\exists, h}(k_0)$.

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

By induction on $\gamma \in I$ we construct a sequence of DOR's $(M_j \mid \gamma \leq j < \hat{\gamma})$ such that

- M_j be an IP of F_j ,
- the sequence $(M_j \mid j < \hat{\gamma})$ be a chain,
- $TH_{\exists,h}(M_j) \equiv TH_{\exists,h}(k_0)$.

Induction Base. $\gamma = 0$. We have

$$\mathbb{R} \subseteq \cup_{i < \hat{0}} F_i \subseteq_{tr} \mathbb{R}((D_{\hat{0}})).$$

Set $K = \mathbb{R}$, $F = \cup_{i < \hat{0}} F_i$ in the Proposition 1 [conditions of Proposition hold with CH]. \Rightarrow

\exists a subset $T \subseteq (\cup_{i < \hat{0}} F_i) \cap \mathbb{R}((D_{\hat{0}}^{\leq}))$ such that

- the elements of T are algebraically independent over k_0 ,
- $k_0[T]_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is an IP of $\cup_{i < \hat{0}} F_i$,
- $T \cap F_0 \neq \emptyset$ (can be provided by construction).

We have $M_i \stackrel{def}{=} F_i \cap (k_0[T]_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ is an IP of F_i , $(M_i \mid i < \hat{0})$ is a chain and $TH_{\exists,h}(M_i) \equiv TH_{\exists,h}(k_0)$.

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

Induction Step. $\gamma \in I$, γ is limit. Let we have the following data:

a chain $(M_i | i < \gamma)$, where M_i is an IP of F_i ,

$$TH_{\exists, h}(M_i) \equiv TH_{\exists, h}(k_0).$$

We will construct a chain $(M_j | \gamma \leq j < \hat{\gamma})$ preserving the above conditions.

- 1) $\bar{M} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{i < \gamma} M_i \subseteq \bar{F}_\gamma$ is a discretely ordered subring and $TH_{\exists, h}(\bar{M}) \equiv TH_{\exists, h}(k_0)$.
- 2) Denote $L = \bigcup_{i < \hat{\gamma}} F_i$ and $B_\gamma = D_{\hat{\gamma}}/D_\gamma$.

Consider the above mentioned isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{R}((D_{\hat{\gamma}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}((D_\gamma))((D_{\hat{\gamma}}/D_\gamma))$. We get

$$\bar{F}_\gamma \subseteq \rho(L) \subseteq_{\text{tr}} \bar{F}_\gamma((B_\gamma)). \quad (3)$$

We are going to show that the conditions of Proposition 1 hold for the field extension (3) (we replace H by $\text{Quot}(\bar{M})$).

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

The Conditions of Proposition 1.

- (a) we replace $K \curvearrowright \bar{F}_\gamma$, $F \curvearrowright \rho(L)$, $G \curvearrowright B_\gamma$.
- (b) $H = \text{Quot}(\bar{M})$ is a subfield and \bar{M} is an IP of \bar{F}_γ .
- (c) $\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{trdeg}(\bar{F}_\gamma/\text{Quot}(\bar{M})) = |L|$ [use GCH and the condition $|F_\gamma| > |\gamma|$].
- (d) $cf(\mu) > |S|$ for each well-ordered subset $S \subseteq B_\gamma^<$ [use the inequality $\hat{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\gamma}$].

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

The Conditions of Proposition 1.

- (a) we replace $K \curvearrowright \bar{F}_\gamma$, $F \curvearrowright \rho(L)$, $G \curvearrowright B_\gamma$.
- (b) $H = \text{Quot}(\bar{M})$ is a subfield and \bar{M} is an IP of \bar{F}_γ .
- (c) $\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{trdeg}(\bar{F}_\gamma/\text{Quot}(\bar{M})) = |L|$ [use GCH and the condition $|F_\gamma| > |\gamma|$].
- (d) $cf(\mu) > |S|$ for each well-ordered subset $S \subseteq B_\gamma^<$ [use the inequality $\hat{\gamma} \leq \tilde{\gamma}$].

Thus, \exists a subset $T \subseteq \rho(L) \cap \bar{F}_\gamma((B_\gamma^<))$ such that

$Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Quot}(\bar{M})[T]_0 \oplus \bar{M}$ is an IP of $\rho(L)$ and the elements of T are algebraically independent over $\text{Quot}(\bar{M})$.

- $\gamma \leq j < \hat{\gamma} \Rightarrow M_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_j \cap \rho^{-1}(Z)$ is an IP of F_j (see Lemma 2).
- $\bar{M} \subseteq M_j$. Thus, $(M_j \mid j < \hat{\gamma})$ is a chain.
- \exists an embedding $M_j \hookrightarrow Z$ over \bar{M} . Thus,
 $TH_{\exists,h}(M_j) \equiv TH_{\exists,h}(\bar{M}) \equiv TH_{\exists,h}(k_0)$ (see Lemma 1).

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

Theorem

(Same hypotheses as in Theorem 1).

- 1) *The number of elementary non-equivalent IP's of F is continuum.*
- 2) *F has a normal IP.*

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

Theorem

(Same hypotheses as in Theorem 1).

- 1) The number of elementary non-equivalent IP's of F is continuum.
- 2) F has a normal IP.

Proof.

- 1) Let A be a subset of the set of prime numbers, and let $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\{2^{1/p} | p \in A\})$, then we get an IP, say M_A , of F such that $TH_{\exists,h}(M_A) \equiv TH_{\exists,h}(k_0)$.
Now, let ψ_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be the formula $\exists x, y (x \neq 0 \wedge x^n = 2y^n)$.
For p a prime, we have $p \in A \Leftrightarrow k_0 \models \psi_p \Leftrightarrow M_A \models \psi_p$.
- 2) Set $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ in the proof of Theorem 1 (use Lemmas 1,b and 2,b).

Sketch of the Proofs of Main Theorems

- If F is an RCF then its residue field k can be embedded in F , and F admits a cross-section.
- Thus we may assume that $k(G) \subseteq F$.
- Besides, there exists a truncation closed embedding $F \hookrightarrow k((G))$ over $k(G)$ (G is the value group of F).

Thus the following can be deduced directly from Proposition 1.

Theorem

Let F be an RCF with the residue field \mathbb{R} and a value group G . Let G have an anti-well-ordered value set α^ with $\alpha \leq \omega_1$. Then F has a normal IP, and the number of elementary non-equivalent IP's of F is continuum.*

Remarks

- 1) The field $F = \mathbb{R}((G))$ (where G has a value set anti-well-ordered) satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1.

Thus $\mathbb{R}((G))$ has continuumly many IP's (at least one of them is normal).

- 2) Let $F = k(t)^r$ (with $t \ll 1$) be the field mentioned in the Introduction ($k \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\text{trdeg}(k) = \aleph_0$).

Then the field extension $k \subseteq F \subseteq_{tr} k((\mathbb{Q}))$ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 1.

Given $k_0 \subseteq k$ and $\text{trdeg}(k/k_0) = \aleph_0$ one gets an IP M of F such that $TH_{\exists, h}(M) \equiv TH_{\exists, h}(k_0)$.

By letting $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\{2^{1/p} | p \in A\})$, we get continuumly many elementary non-equivalent IP's of F . The case $A = \emptyset$ corresponds to the normal one.

References

1. Berarducci A., Otero M., A recursive nonstandard model of normal open induction, *J. of Symbolic Logic*, 61 (1996), no. 4, 1228-1241.
2. Biljakovic D., Kochetov M., Kuhlmann S., Primes and irreducibles in truncation integer parts of real closed fields, in: *Logic, Algebra and Arithmetic, Lecture Notes in Logic*, 26, Association for Symbolic Logic, AK Peters, 42-65 (2006).
3. Boughattas S., Résultats optimaux sur l'existence d'une partie entière dans les corps ordonnés, *J. of Symbolic Logic*, 58 (1993), no. 1, 326-333.
4. Dales H.G., Woodin W.H., *Super-real fields. Totally ordered fields with additional structure*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series 14. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.

5. van den Dries L., Some model theory and number theory for models of weak systems of arithmetic, in: L. Pacholski et al., eds., Model Theory of Algebra and Arithmetic, Lecture Notes in Math. 834 (Springer, Berlin, 1980) 346-362.
6. Fornasiero A., Embedding Henselian fields into power series, J. of Algebra 304 (2006), no. 1, 112-156.
7. Macintyre A., Marker D., Primes and their residue rings in models of open induction, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 43 (1989), no. 1, 57-77.
8. Mourgues M.H., Ressayre J.P., Every real closed field has an integer part, J. of Symbolic Logic 58 (1993), no. 2, 641-647.
9. Shepherdson J.C., A nonstandard model for a free variable fragment of number theory, Bulletin de l'académie Polonaise des sciences, 12 (1964), 79-86.
10. Wilkie A., Some results and problems on weak systems of arithmetic, in: A. J. Macintyre et al., eds., Logic Colloquium 1977 (North-Holland, Amsterdam 1978) 285-296.